



WORTHING BOROUGH COUNCIL

21 September 2017

Licensing and Control Committee B

Date:	02 October 2017
Time:	At the conclusion of the LCCA meeting
Venue:	Gordon Room, Stoke Abbott Road

Committee Membership: Councillors Paul High (Chairman), Sean McDonald (Vice Chairman), Noel Atkins, Paul Baker, Keith Bickers, Callum Buxton, Edward Crouch, Lionel Harman, Joshua High, Susan Jelliss, Jane Sim, Bob Smytherman, Steve Wills, Paul Westover, Tom Wye

Agenda

Part A

1. **Declarations of Interest / Substitute Members**

Members and officers must declare any disclosable pecuniary interests in relation to any business on the agenda. Declarations should also be made at any stage such an interest becomes apparent during the meeting.

If in doubt contact the Legal or Democratic Services representative for this meeting.

2. **Confirmation of Minutes**

To approve the minutes of the Licensing and Control Committee A meeting of held on the 18 September 2017, copies of which have been previously circulated.

3. Public Question Time

To receive any questions from Members of the public in accordance with Standing Order 11.2

(Note: Public Question Time will operate for a maximum of 30 minutes.)

4. Gambling Act 2005 - Review of Statement of Licensing Policy

To consider a report by the Director for Communities, copy attached as item 4

Part B - Not for publication - Exempt Information Reports

For Democratic Services enquiries relating to this meeting please contact:	For Legal Services enquiries relating to this meeting please contact:
Chris Cadman-Dando Democratic Services Officer 01903 221364 chris.cadman-dando@adur-worthing.gov.uk	Ruth Pallister Solicitor 01903 221050 Ruth.pallister@adur-worthing.gov.uk

Duration of the Meeting: Four hours after the commencement of the meeting the Chairperson will adjourn the meeting to consider if it wishes to continue. A vote will be taken and a simple majority in favour will be necessary for the meeting to continue.



**Gambling Act 2005
Review of Statement of Licensing Policy
Report by the Director for Communities**

1.0 Summary

- 1.1 Members are requested to consider the attached updated policy for adoption by full Council on 2 November 2017.
- 1.2 In accordance with the statutory requirements of the Gambling Act 2005 (the act) the Council's Statement of Gambling Licensing Policy has been reviewed following consultation with authorities and public.
- 1.2 Only minor changes to the policy are incorporated. The amendments to the policy are limited to the updating of the policy to reflect changes made to the Gambling Commissions Guidance to licensing authorities (5th edition – September 2015). The main amendments/additions to the policy relate to premises licences and requirement for local risk assessment and can be found on pages 7 - 12 of the draft. There are also some minor changes to incorporate name and date changes. All changes proposed are highlighted in the draft policy in bold italics.
- 1.3 Members are invited to review the council's Statement of Licensing Policy in relation to the Gambling Act 2005, and then invite Council to endorse its recommendations and adopt an updated policy.

2.0 Background

- 2.1 The Gambling Commission is the lead agency responsible for the administration and enforcement of the Gambling Act 2005. Its responsibilities include the licensing of operators & issuing of personal licences. Local authorities are responsible for the licensing of premises used for gambling within their area, local enforcement and the issuing of permits.
- 2.2 Worthing Borough Council's current statement of Licensing Policy under the Gambling Act 2005 was endorsed by this committee and subsequently approved by the council in 2014. It was approved following an extensive consultation process. The policy was in accordance with both the act and the statutory guidance issued by the Gambling Commission that was current at the time.
- 2.3 The policy, along with the Gambling Commission's guidance, provides the framework for officer and member decision making on applications for gambling premises licences under the act and outlines to applicant's and current operators the council's expectations and business' obligations .

- 2.4 The provisions of the act require the Council review its Statement of Licensing Policy at least every three years. The current policy is now three years old and is now due for review and re-adoption.
- 2.5 In April 2016 changes to the Gambling Act 2005 and its guidance extended control measures that may be used to protect children and other vulnerable persons from being harmed or exploited by gambling. These changes to the licence conditions and codes of practice (LCCP) included a new social responsibility (mandatory) code requiring all operators with gambling premises licences to produce a local risk assessment.
- 2.6 The Gambling Commission's Guidance for Local Authorities sets out details of how to implement these changes including the requirement for operators of gambling premises to produce risk assessments based on the council's policy to show how vulnerable people, including people with gambling dependencies, are protected and to consider as a minimum:
- whether the premises is in an area of deprivation
 - whether the premises is in an area subject to high levels of crime and/or disorder
 - the ethnic profile of residents in the area
 - the demographics of the area in relation to vulnerable groups
 - the location of services for children such as schools, playgrounds, toy shops, leisure centres and other areas where children will gather.
- 2.7 Worthing Borough Council's Statement lists other matters that the risk assessments should consider including:
- unemployment levels;
 - levels of homelessness;
 - gambling, alcohol, drug or mental health support facilities;
 - ethnic considerations;
 - pawn broker/pay day loan businesses in the vicinity;
 - other gambling premises in the vicinity.
- 2.8 Applicants for premises licences and current operators will be able to utilise the information available in the 'Adur & Worthing Community Profile' to assist them in compiling their risk assessment.
- 2.9 The requirement for a risk assessment should give operators, officers and members a better understanding around the risks relating to vulnerability at local levels.

3.0 Consultation

- 3.1 The attached draft of the policy contains the minimum of amendments. Those amendments/additions made bring it into line with the Gambling Commission's guidance. No changes to the intent or direction of the policy are included. Because it contains such minor amendments consultation of the authorities and public has been limited to a period of four weeks between 4 August and 1 September 2017.
- 3.2 Despite acknowledgements no representations regarding the content of the draft policy were received during consultation.

4. Consideration

- 4.1 Under the act rights of representation are granted to Responsible Authorities (the Council as Licensing Authority, Gambling Commission, Police, Fire & Rescue Service, Planning Service, Environmental Health, HM Customs and Excise), and Interested Parties (person living close enough to be likely to be effected or having business interests that might be affected). Since the Gambling Act came into force in 2007 none of the applications received by this Licensing Authority, under the act, have given rise to any representations, and no licensing hearings have therefore been necessary.
- 4.2 Complaints regarding low level illegal gambling taking place at unlicensed premises are occasionally received by this authority and the Gambling Commission. However, no complaints regarding licensed gambling premises and no calls for a review of a premises licence have been received by this authority since the Act was introduced.
- 4.3 The very minimum of amendments have been made to the draft policy that went out to consultation.
- 4.4 No representation was received regarding the draft policy during consultation.
- 4.5 None of the changes made are considered to change the spirit or direction of the policy. The Gambling Act 2005 has not proven to have caused major concerns to this Licensing Authority. No evidence has emerged that the current statement of Licensing Policy is not appropriate and only minor changes, for the reasons detailed above, are therefore recommended.

5.0 Legal

- 5.1 Section 349 of the Act requires the Council prepare and publish a statement of principles that will apply in exercising its functions under the Act. This statement of principles (the policy) should be applied for a period not exceeding three years. There is no bar to more frequent reviews of the policy and changes can be made to the policy if necessary for example if there are any significant changes to the legislation.
- 5.2. Section 154 of the Act means that only Full Council can approve this policy as the function cannot be delegated to the Licensing & Control Committee. However, the Council may take into consideration any recommendations made by the Committee.
- 5.3 In determining this matter the principles of the Human Rights Act 1998 must be taken into consideration and the convention rights of both individuals and businesses given due weight.
- 5.4 Members must consider each licensing matter on its own merits, and in accordance with the principles of natural justice, as well as the provisions of the Gambling Act 2005. All relevant factors must be taken into account, and all irrelevant factors must be disregarded.

5.5 All licensing matters, before Committee, must be considered against the backdrop of anti-discriminatory legislation, such as the Equality Act 2010 and also in accordance with the Council's stated policy on Equal Opportunities.

5.6 In accordance with Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 the Council is under a duty to exercise its functions with due regard to the likely effect on, and the need to do all it reasonably can to prevent, crime and disorder in its areas. The possible crime and disorder implications are clearly relevant factors in the consideration of all applications and this is re-emphasised by the Gambling Act 2005 itself. In giving "due regard" to these possible implications members will consider and weigh up all the information available and representations made, including those from interested parties and the responsible authorities particularly the Police.

6.0 Implications

6.1 There are no significant equal opportunities or environmental implications. Crime and disorder considerations are included in the Policy.

7.0 Recommendation

7.1 The Committee is asked to consider and recommend to full Council the adoption of the attached draft Statement of Gambling Licensing Policy.

Mary D'Arcy
Director for Communities

Principal Author and Contact Officer:

Simon Jones

Public Health & Regulation – Team Leader Licensing

Tel: 01273 263191 or simon.jones@adur-worthing.gov.uk

Portland House, Worthing

Ref: SJ/Lic.U/WBC Gambling Policy

Date: 14 September 2017.

Background Papers:

- Gambling Act 2005
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2005/19/contents>
- Guidance issued to Licensing Authorities (Sept 2015)
<http://www.gamblingcommission.gov.uk/Licensing-authorities/Information-for-licensing-authorities/Guidance-to-licensing-authorities-5th-edition.aspx>

Contact Officer:

- Appendix A - Consultation List
- Appendix B - Draft Statement of Licensing Policy
- Appendix C - Adur & Worthing Community Profile

Schedule of Other Matters

1.0 Council Priority

1.1 Statutory requirement.

2.0 Specific Action Plans

2.1 Matter considered and no issues identified

3.0 Sustainability Issues

3.1 Matter considered and no issues identified

4.0 Equality Issues

4.1 Matter considered and no issues identified

5.0 Community Safety Issues (Section 17)

5.1 Matter considered in body of report.

6.0 Human Rights Issues

6.1 Matter considered and no issues identified

7.0 Reputation

7.1 Matter considered and no issues identified

8.0 Consultations

8.1 List of those consulted included at appendix A.

9.0 Risk Assessment

9.1 Matter considered and no issues identified

10.0 Health & Safety Issues

10.1 Matter considered and no issues identified

11.0 Procurement Strategy

11.1 Matter considered and no issues identified

12.0 Partnership Working

12.1 Matter considered and no issues identified

SCHEDULE OF CONSULTEES

**Worthing Borough Council's Draft Statement of Gambling Licensing Policy
2017**

RESPONSIBLE AUTHORITIES

- Worthing Borough Council as Licensing Authority
- The Gambling Commission
- Sussex Police
- West Sussex County Council Fire and Rescue Authority.
- Adur & Worthing Councils as Local Planning Authority
- Adur & Worthing Councils as authority having functions in minimising or preventing harm to the environment or harm to health - Environmental Health Section
- H.M. Revenue and Customs
- West Sussex County Council Children's Safeguarding

PUBLIC

- Consultation on Adur & Worthing Councils' Website between 4 Aug & 1 Sept 2017.

MEMBERS

- Members of the Licensing & Control Committee

INTERNAL OFFICER CONSULTEES

- Mary D'Arcy – Director for Communities
- Ruth Pallister – Legal Services
- Jacqui Cooke – Head of Wellbeing



WORTHING BOROUGH
COUNCIL



**GAMBLING ACT 2005
DRAFT STATEMENT OF
GAMBLING LICENSING POLICY**

Review Draft 2

10 Sept 2017



WORTHING BOROUGH
COUNCIL

DRAFT STATEMENT OF GAMBLING LICENSING POLICY

Index

1. Introduction
2. Consultation
3. Licensable Activities
4. The Licensing Objectives
 - Crime and Disorder
 - Ensuring Gambling is Conducted in a Fair and Open Way
 - Protecting Children and other Vulnerable Persons from Being Harmed or Exploited
- 5. Premises Licence**
6. Making Application
 - Advertising
 - Sending to Responsible Authorities
 - Making a Representation
 - Irrelevant, Frivolous and Vexatious Representations
 - Registering to speak at a Hearing
7. Demand
8. Information Exchange
9. Enforcement
10. Miscellaneous
 - Responsible Authorities
 - Responsible Authority for Child Protection
 - Interested Parties
 - Licence Reviews
 - Mediation
 - Appeals
 - Human Rights
 - Duplication
 - Casinos
11. Administration, Exercise and Delegation of Functions
12. Contact Point

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 This Policy Statement is produced in accordance with the requirements of the Gambling Act 2005 (the Act), the Gambling Act 2005 (Licensing Authority Policy Statement) (England and Wales) Regulations 2006 and the Gambling Commissions guidance to Local Authorities (September 2015). The Statement sets out the principles the Council will apply when carrying out its gambling licensing functions. In preparing this Statement the Council has consulted widely with statutory bodies, stakeholders and members of the public. This policy will be operational from **2 November 2017**.
- 1.2 Worthing is situated in the County of West Sussex which contains seven Councils in total; Worthing is a compact urban seaside borough. It is one of the largest towns in West Sussex with a population of nearly 105,000 covering an area of 3300 hectares. Adur District Council and Worthing Borough Council are served by a single officer structure. However, they remain separate Licensing Authorities with separate licensing policies that reflect the needs and aspirations of those living and working within their own areas.
- 1.3 Under the provisions of the Act the Gambling Commission is responsible for determining applications for Operating Licences and Personal Licences, whilst the Council is responsible for determining applications for Premises Licences and Permits.
- 1.4 In accordance with Section 153 of the Act the presumption should be for the Council to permit the use of a premise for gambling, provided that this is consistent with paragraphs 1.5 and 1.6 below, and with the remainder of this Policy Statement. Each application will be considered on its own merits.
- 1.5 In exercising its functions the Council will have regard to the licensing objectives set out in Section 1 of the Act:
- a) Preventing gambling from being a source of crime or disorder, being associated with crime or disorder or being used to support crime.
 - b) Ensuring that gambling is conducted in a fair and open way, and
 - c) Protecting children and other vulnerable persons from being harmed or exploited by gambling.
- 1.6 The Council will also give due regard to any relevant guidance or code of practice issued by the Gambling Commission.
- 1.7 Under the 2005 Act the Council has a legal duty to review its statement of policy at intervals of at least every 3 years and will seek to obtain consensus regarding the main elements of this policy amongst stakeholder groups, to provide partnership working to achieve high standards and good practice.

2. CONSULTATION

- 2.1 There are a number of groups who have a stake in the gambling industry, including providers, customers, residents and enforcers, all who have views and concerns that require consideration as part of the gambling licensing function.
- 2.2 Before publishing the original Policy Statement in 2006, the Council consulted with the Gambling Commission, HM Revenue & Customs, Sussex Police, West Sussex Fire & Rescue Service, the members of the Adur & Worthing Safer Communities Partnership, Head of Child Protection at West Sussex County Council, The Drug & Alcohol Action Team and the Head of Community Safety at West Sussex County Council and bodies representing local holders of premises licences, personal licences, businesses and residents in the Borough.

These organisations and individuals were subsequently consulted when the policy was reviewed previously. This latest draft of the policy contains the minimum of amendments and no changes to the intent or direction of the policy are included. Because it contains such minor amendments consultation on this occasion was limited to the responsible authorities and public and for a limited period of four weeks between 4 August and 1 September 2017.

- 2.3 The Council recognises that proper weight needs to be given to the views of all the persons/bodies consulted before this Policy Statement takes effect on **2 November 2017**. It will remain in force for a period of not more than three years and will be subject to review and further consultation prior to **2 November 2020**.

3. LICENSABLE ACTIVITIES

- 3.1. This policy related to all those licensable premises, notices, permits and registrations falling within the provisions of the Act including:
- Casinos
 - Bingo premises
 - Betting premises
 - Tracks (Horse and Dog)
 - Adult Gaming Centres
 - Family entertainment centres
 - Club gaming permits
 - Prize gaming permits
 - Licensed premises gaming machine permits
 - Small society lotteries
 - Temporary use notices

4. THE LICENSING OBJECTIVES

Crime and Disorder

Preventing Gambling from being a source of crime or disorder, being associated with crime or disorder or being used to support crime.

- 4.1 The Council places huge importance on the prevention of crime and disorder. A high standard of control is, therefore, expected to be exercised over licensed premises.
- 4.2 In accordance with Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 the Council is under a duty to exercise its functions with due regard to the likely effect on, and the need to do all it reasonably can to prevent, crime and disorder in its areas. The possible crime and disorder implications are clearly relevant factors in the consideration of all applications and this is re-emphasised by the Gambling Act 2005 itself, the Guidance issued by the Gambling Commission and this policy. The Council will give "due regard" to all possible implications and its Licensing & Control Committee will always consider and weigh up all the information available and representations made, including those from interested parties and the responsible authorities particularly the Police.
- 4.3 Applicants are encouraged to discuss their proposals with the appropriate responsible authorities before making a formal application.
- 4.4 When considering licence applications the Council will particularly take into account the following:-
- The location of the premises
 - The design and layout of the premises
 - The adequacy of security features such as CCTV
 - Where premises are subject to age restrictions, the procedure in place to conduct age verification checks
- 4.5 The Council will consider carefully the views of the Police as to whether specific controls need to be applied to prevent a premise from being a source of crime and disorder.

Ensuring Gambling is conducted in a Fair and Open Way

- 4.6 The Council notes that the Gambling Commission does not generally expect local authorities to become involved in this objective as such matters will normally relate to the operation of the business, and therefore subject to the Operating Licence, to the actions of an individual, and therefore subject to the Personal Licence. The matters will therefore generally fall within the Commission's remit. The Council will seek to establish an effective channel for the exchange of information with the Commission to ensure that intelligence is shared appropriately.

Protecting Children and other Vulnerable Persons from Being Harmed or Exploited by Gambling

- 4.7 The Council notes from the Commission guidance that, with limited exceptions, the intention of the Gambling Act is that children and young persons should not be permitted to gamble and should be prevented from entering those gambling premises, or parts of premises, which are adult only environments.
- 4.8 The Council may seek to limit the advertising for premises so that gambling products are not aimed at children or advertised in such a way that makes them particularly attractive to children.
- 4.9 The Council may consult with West Sussex Child Protection Agency on any application that may give cause for concern over access for children or vulnerable persons.
- 4.10 The Council will also ensure that where category C or above machines are on offer in premises to which children are admitted:
- all such machines are located in an area of the premises which is separated from the remainder of the premises by a physical barrier which is effective to prevent access other than through a designated entrance;
 - only adults are admitted to the area where these machines are located;
 - access to the area where the machines are located is supervised;
 - the area where these machines are located is arranged so that it can be observed by the staff or the licence holder; and
 - at the entrance to and inside any such areas there are prominently displayed notices indicating that access to the area is prohibited to persons under 18.
 - These considerations will apply to premises including buildings where multiple premises licences are applicable. The Council will judge each separate application on its own merits before deciding whether to impose conditions to protect children on particular categories of premises.
- 4.11 The Council will require that any gambling establishment must give space to advertisements publicising details of organisations and support groups providing assistance to anyone addicted to gambling.
- 4.12 The Council considers that specific measures such as supervision of entrances, segregation of gambling areas, and supervision of gaming machines may be necessary in particular categories of premises but each case will be considered on its merits. Any condition imposed will be proportionate and directly related to the scale and type of the premises and the type of licence applied for.
- 4.13 The Council notes that the Act does not seek to prohibit particular groups of adults from gambling in the same way that it prohibits children. The

Commission offers no definition of vulnerable persons but it is assumed that this group includes people who gamble more than they want to, people who gamble beyond their means, and people who may not be able to make informed or balanced decisions about gambling due to mental impairment, alcohol, or drugs. The Council will consider on a case by case basis whether any special considerations apply in relation to the protection of vulnerable persons.

5. PREMISES LICENCES

- 5.1 The Council will issue premises licences allowing premises to be used for certain types of gambling. For example premises licences may be issued to amusement arcades, bingo halls and bookmakers.**
- 5.2 Premises licences are subject to the permissions/restrictions set out in the Gambling Act 2005 and regulations, as well as specific mandatory and default conditions which are detailed in regulations issued by the Secretary of State. Licensing authorities are able to exclude default conditions and also attach other conditions, where it is believed to be necessary and proportionate.**
- 5.3 Applicants should also be aware that the Gambling Commission has issued Codes of Practice for each interest area for which they must have regard. The Council will also have regard to these Codes of Practice.**

Definition of Premises

- 5.4 Premise is defined in the Act as 'any place'. Different premises licences cannot apply in respect of a single premise at different times. However, it is possible for a single building to be subject to more than one premises licence, provided they are for different parts of the building and the different parts of the building can be reasonably regarded as being different premises. Whether different parts of a building can properly be regarded as being separate premises will always be a question of fact in the circumstances.**
- 5.5 The Council will take care in considering applications for multiple licences for a building and those relating to a discrete part of a building used for other (non-gambling) purposes. In particular the Council will assess entrances and exits from parts of a building covered by one or more licences to satisfy itself that they are separate and identifiable so that the separation of different premises is not compromised and that people do not drift into a gambling area.**
- 5.6 The Council will pay particular attention to applications where access to the licensed premises is through other premises (which themselves may be licensed or unlicensed). Issues that the Council will consider before granting such applications include whether children can gain access, compatibility of the two establishments; and the ability to comply with**

the requirements of the Act. In addition, an overriding consideration will be whether, taken as a whole, the co-location of the licensed premises with other facilities has the effect of creating an arrangement that otherwise would, or should, be prohibited under the Act.

- 5.7** An applicant cannot obtain a full premises licence until they have the right to occupy the premises to which the application relates.
- 5.8** The Council is aware that demand issues (e.g. the likely demand or need for gambling facilities in an area) cannot be considered with regard to the location of premises but that considerations in terms of the licensing objectives can. The Council will pay particular attention to the protection of children and vulnerable persons from being harmed or exploited by gambling, as well as issues of crime and disorder.
- 5.9** With regard to these objectives, it is the Council's policy, upon receipt of any relevant representations, to look at specific location issues, including:
- the possible impact a gambling premises may have on any premises that provide services to children or young people, i.e. a school, or vulnerable adult centres in the area;
 - the possible impact a gambling premises may have on residential areas where there may be a high concentration of families with children;
 - the size of the premises and the nature of the activities taking place;
 - any levels of organised crime in the area.
- 5.10** In order for location to be considered, the Council will need to be satisfied that there is sufficient evidence that the particular location of the premises would be harmful to the licensing objectives.

Local Risk Assessment

- 5.11** From 6 April 2016, it became a requirement of the Gambling Commission's Licence Conditions and Codes of Practice (LCCP), under Section 10, for licensees to assess the local risks to the licensing objectives posed by the provision of gambling facilities at their premises and have policies, procedures and control measures to mitigate those risks. In making risk assessments, licensees must take into account relevant matters identified in this policy.
- 5.12** The LCCP goes on to say licensees must review (and update as necessary) their local risk assessments:
- to take account of significant changes in local circumstance, including those identified in this policy;
 - when there are significant changes at a licensee's premises that may affect their mitigation of local risks;
 - when applying for a variation of a premises licence; and

- in any case, undertake a local risk assessment when applying for a new premises licence.

5.13 The Council will expect the local risk assessment to consider as a minimum:

- whether the premises is in an area of deprivation;
- whether the premises is in an area subject to high levels of crime and/or disorder;
- the demographics of the area in relation to vulnerable groups;
- location of services for children such as schools, playgrounds, toy shops, leisure centres and other areas where children will gather.
- significant presence of young children, both residents and visitors;
- high unemployment area;
- nearby homeless hostels;
- nearby gambling, alcohol, drug or mental health support facility;
- the area has a high number of rough sleepers/homeless people;
- the area has a specific ethnic population;
- pawn broker/pay day loan businesses in the vicinity;
- other gambling premises in the vicinity.

Information around these groups is available in the Adur & Worthing Community Profile

5.14 In any case, the local risk assessment should show how vulnerable people, including people with gambling dependencies, are protected.

5.15 Other matters that the assessment may include:

- The training of staff in brief intervention when customers show signs of excessive gambling, the ability of staff to offer brief intervention and how the manning of premises affects this.
- Details as to the location and coverage of working CCTV cameras, and how the system will be monitored.
- The layout of the premises so that staff have an unobstructed view of persons using the premises.
- The number of staff that will be available on the premises at any one time. If at any time that number is one, confirm the supervisory and monitoring arrangements when that person is absent from the licensed area or distracted from supervising the premises and observing those persons using the premises.
- Arrangements for monitoring and dealing with under age persons and vulnerable persons, which may include dedicated and trained personnel, leaflets, posters, self-exclusion schemes, window displays and advertisements not to entice passers-by, etc.
- The provision of signage and documents relating to games rules, gambling care providers and other relevant information be provided in both English and the other prominent first language for that locality.
- Where the application is for a betting premises licence, other than in respect of a track, the location and extent of any part of the premises

which will be used to provide facilities for gambling in reliance on the licence.

- 5.16 Such information may be used to inform the decision the Council makes about whether to grant the licence, to grant the licence with special conditions or to refuse the application.
- 5.17 This policy does not preclude any application being made and each application will be decided on its merits, with the onus being upon the applicant to show how the concerns can be overcome.

Local Area Profile

- 5.18 Each locality has its own character and challenges. In order to assist applicants, where there is an issue in a local area which impacts on how the applicant should complete their risk assessment, the Council has published a local area profile. The Adur & Worthing Community Profile 2014 can be viewed at:
<https://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/media/media,123248,en.pdf>
- 5.19 The local area profile should be given careful consideration when making an application. Applicants may be asked to attend a meeting with licensing officers to discuss the profiles, appropriate measures to mitigate risk in the area and how they might be relevant to their application. The local area profile will be presented to any subsequent licensing sub-committee when they determine an application that has received representations.
- 5.20 The Council recognises that it cannot insist on applicants using the local area profile when completing their risk assessments. However, an applicant who decides to disregard the profile may face additional representations and the expense of a hearing as a result.

Conditions

- 5.21 The Council is aware that the Secretary of State has set mandatory conditions and default conditions and the Gambling Commission has set Licence Conditions and Codes of Practice which are necessary for the general good conduct of gambling premises, therefore it is unlikely that the Council will need to impose individual conditions imposing a more restricted regime in relation to matters that have already been dealt with.
- 5.22 Where there are specific risks or problems associated with a particular locality, or specific premises, or class of premises, the Council will attach individual conditions to address this.
- 5.23 Any conditions attached to a licence issued by the Council will be proportionate and will be:

- relevant to the need to make the proposed building suitable as a gambling facility
- directly related to the premises and the type of licence applied for and/or related to the area where the premises is based
- fairly and reasonably related to the scale, type and location of premises
- consistent with the licensing objectives, and
- reasonable in all other respects.

5.24 Decisions about individual conditions will be made on a case by case basis, although there will be a number of control measures the Council will consider using, such as supervision of entrances, supervision of adult gaming machines, appropriate signage for adult only areas, etc. There are specific comments made in this regard under each of the licence types in this policy. The Council will also expect the applicant to offer his/her own suggestions as to the way in which the licensing objectives can be met effectively.

5.25 Where certain measures are not already addressed by the mandatory/default conditions or by the applicant, the Council may consider licence conditions to cover issues such as:

- proof of age schemes
- CCTV
- supervision of entrances
- supervision of machine areas
- a reduction in the number of betting machines (betting premises)
- the staffing of premises
- physical separation of areas
- location of entrance points
- notices/signage
- specific opening hours
- a requirement that children must be accompanied by an adult
- enhanced CRB checks of the applicant and/or staff
- support to persons with gambling addiction
- policies to address seasonal periods where children may more frequently attempt to gain access to premises and gamble such as pre and post school hours, and school holidays
- policies to address the problems associated with truant children who may attempt to gain access to premises and gamble
- any one or a combination of the measures as set out in this policy.

5.26 This list is not mandatory or exhaustive and is merely indicative of examples of certain measures which may satisfy the requirements of the licensing authority and the responsible authorities, depending on the nature and location of the premises and the gambling facilities to be provided.

5.27 There are conditions which the Council cannot attach to premises licences which are:

- any condition on the premises licence which makes it impossible for the applicant to comply with an operating licence condition;
- conditions relating to gaming machine categories, numbers, or method of operation;
- conditions which provide that membership of a club or body be required (the Gambling Act 2005 specifically removes the membership requirement for casino and bingo clubs and this provision prevents it being reinstated) and
- conditions in relation to stakes, fees, winnings or prizes.

Door Supervision

5.28 The Council will consider whether there is a need for door supervision in terms of the licensing objectives of protection of children and vulnerable persons from being harmed or exploited by gambling, and also in terms of preventing premises becoming a source of crime. It is noted, though, that the Gambling Act 2005 has amended the Private Security Industry Act 2001, and that the door supervisors at casinos or bingo premises are not required to be licensed by the Security Industry Authority. Where door supervisors are provided at these premises, the operator should ensure that any persons employed in this capacity are fit and proper to carry out such duties. Possible ways to achieve this could be to carry out a DBS (Disclosure and Barring Service) check on potential staff and for such personnel to have attended industry recognised training.

6. MAKING AN APPLICATION

6.1 The Council will ensure that all relevant information is available on how to make applications for licences and other permissions under the Act. A full list of responsible authorities and their appropriate contact details is available on the council website together with premises licence and permit application forms. In addition the council will ensure that information and advice regarding making representations, and applying for a review of a premises licence, is also available. Application must be made in the prescribed manner and include a plan of the premises and the relevant fee.

6.2 Application forms and advice are available from Adur & Worthing Councils' website or by contacting the AWC Public Health & Regulation - Licensing Unit.

<http://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/licensing-and-permits/gambling/>

Advertising

6.3 Applicants must comply with the Gambling Act 2005's regulations when advertising their applications for a premises licence or variation. By displaying a white notice for 28 days on the exterior of the premises and placing an advertisement in the legal section of a local newspaper (Worthing Herald or Evening Argus). If advertising irregularities are found the advertising period

may be required to be started again or extended, at the discretion of the Senior Licensing Officer.

- 6.4 Details of all new applications, variations and reviews are detailed on the council's website together with scheduled hearing dates and the results of each application.

Sending to Responsible Authorities

- 6.5 New applications or applications to vary premises licences must be made to the Licensing Authority with statutory notices served on each of the responsible authorities.

Making a representation

- 6.6 Responsible authorities and interested parties may make representations on applications for premises licences, their variation or review applications. The Act defines "Interested parties" as the bodies or individuals who are entitled to make representations to licensing authorities on applications for the grant, variation or review of premises licences. In addition, interested parties may themselves seek a review of a premises licence. Interested parties are defined in paragraph 9.3. Other than those that qualify as interested parties, the council will require written evidence that a person 'represents' someone who either lives sufficiently close to the premises to be likely to be affected by the authorised activities and/or business interests that might be affected by the authorised activities. A letter from one of these persons requesting the representation is sufficient.
- 6.7 Representations regarding an application may be positive or negative, although only negative representations, relevant to the licensing objectives, would result in a Licensing Sub-Committee hearing and determination of an application.

Irrelevant, frivolous, vexatious

- 6.8 Any representation which in the opinion of the Senior Licensing Officer is, on the balance of probabilities, irrelevant (does not relate to the licensing objectives), frivolous or vexatious shall not be considered. The Senior Licensing Officer is empowered to make a decision, which would result in the representation not going before the Licensing Sub-Committee.
- 6.9 In cases of doubt as to whether a representation is irrelevant, frivolous or vexatious the Senior Licensing Officer will consult with the Chairman of the Licensing and Control Committee or with legal advisors as appropriate.

Registering to speak or be represented at Sub-Committee hearings

- 6.10 Any person making a relevant representation that wishes to speak or be represented at a Licensing Sub-Committee hearing is expected to inform the Licensing Authority of their intention at least five working days before the

hearing. Failure to do so may result in such a person not being heard, although the Chair may exercise their discretion and allow the person to speak.

7. DEMAND

- 7.1 In accordance with the Gambling Commission's guidance the Council recognises that unmet demand is not a relevant criterion in considering an application under the Act.

8. INFORMATION EXCHANGE

- 8.1 In exercising its functions under the Act the Council has establish protocols with the Commission to ensure efficient and effective exchange of information. The existing protocols established in connection with the Licensing Act 2003 are utilised for information exchange with other regulatory bodies. All information exchange is in accordance with the requirements of the Data Protection Act and the Freedom of Information Act. The name and address of those making representations will usually be disclosed to applicants.

9. ENFORCEMENT

- 9.1 Once licensed it is essential that premises are maintained and run in accordance with the requirements of the Act (and associated regulations) and any conditions on the licence. The Council has extended the existing enforcement liaison arrangements with other relevant statutory bodies to incorporate these responsibilities. Enforcement action in respect of the legislative requirements is in accordance with the approved **Public Health & Regulation** Enforcement Policy, which is in accordance with the Enforcement Concordat. All interventions are:

- i. Proportionate and appropriate to the risks posed by any problem
- ii. Consistent and fair in their application of standards and requirements
- iii. Transparent and open
- iv. Targeted and focused on the highest risk problems

10. MISCELLANEOUS

Responsible Authorities

- 10.1 The responsible authorities, as defined in Section 157 of the Act, are:
- Gambling Commission
 - Sussex Police
 - West Sussex Fire and Rescue Service
 - Adur & Worthing Councils' – Planning Services

- Adur & Worthing Councils' – Environmental Health
- West Sussex County Council's **Head of Children's Safeguarding**
- HM Customs and Excise
- **Any other person prescribed in regulations by the Secretary of State**

Under Section 157 the Council, as the licensing authority, is also defined as a responsible authority.

Responsible Authority for Child Protection

10.2 The Council is required by regulations to state the principles it will apply to exercising its powers under Section 157 of the Act to designate in writing a body which is competent to advise the authority on the protection of children from harm. These principles are:

- The need for the body to be responsible for an area covering the whole of the licensing authority's area.
- The need for consistency with the existing arrangements under the Licensing Act 2003

Worthing Borough Council has designated the **Head of Children's Safeguarding** at West Sussex County Council.

(Email: cpu.team@westsussex.gcsx.gov.uk)

Interested Parties

10.3 Interested parties can make representations about licence applications or call for a review of an existing licence. The Act defines an interested party as a person who in the opinion of the licensing authority:

- a) Lives sufficiently close to the premises to be likely to be affected by the authorised activities or
- b) Has business interest that might be affected by the authorised activities or
- c) Represents persons in either of these two groups.
- d) Who is democratically elected such as a councillor or MP.

10.4 The Council is required by regulations to state the principles it will apply in determining whether a person is an interested party. The principles are:

- The size and nature of the premises
- The distance from the person making the representation
- The potential impact of the premises (number of customers, routes likely to be taken by customers)
- The circumstances of the complainant (the Council may conclude that "sufficiently close" has a different meaning for, for example, a private resident and a residential hostel for vulnerable adults)

- Persons representing a) or b) above may include Councillors, MP's, Trade Unions and residents and tenants Associations. The Council reserves the right to require written evidence that a person does represent interested parties.

10.5 If individuals wish to approach Councillors to ask them to represent their views then care should be taken that the Councillors are not part of the Licensing Committee dealing with the licence application. Applicants should contact the Licensing Unit at Adur & Worthing Councils in the first instance rather than approach their Councillor directly.

Licence Reviews

10.6 A premises licence may be reviewed by the Council of its own volition or following an application from an interested party or responsible authority. The Council will normally grant an application for review unless the grounds on which the review is sought:

- Are frivolous or vexatious
- Are substantially the same as a previous application (unless sufficient time has passed to consider the matter again)
- Are substantially the same as representations made when the premises licence application was considered (unless sufficient time has passed to consider the matter again)
- "Will certainly not" cause the authority to revoke or suspend a licence, or to reserve or amend, or attach conditions.

Mediation

10.7 Where a responsible authority or an interested party (such as a local resident or residents' association) has made a valid representation about an application or for a licence to be reviewed, the Council will seek to encourage direct contact between the parties to try and achieve agreement. This process will not override the right of any responsible authority or interested party to ask that the Licensing and Control Committee consider a valid representation.

Appeals

10.8 The avenues of appeal against decisions by a licensing authority are set out in sections 206 to 209 of the Act and include rights with regard to premises licence application rejections and where applications are granted. Appeals may also be made against transfer and review decisions.

10.9 The Licensing & Control Committee will give clear and comprehensive reasons for any rejection of an application or grant of licence or any other decisions. The reasons for any decision will be made public at the hearing.

10.10 The Licensing & Control Committee will provide full written details of the decision with its reasons to all parties within five working days. From receipt of

the written decision, the parties have 21 days to lodge an appeal at the magistrates' court.

Human Rights

10.11 The Human Rights Act 1998 makes it unlawful for a local authority to act in a way, which is incompatible with a right under the European Convention on Human Rights, except in certain circumstances. In carrying out its licensing functions the Council will have particular regard to:

- Article 6 that in the determination of civil rights and obligations everyone is entitled to a fair and public hearing within a reasonable time by an independent and impartial tribunal established in accordance with the law.
- Article 8 that everyone has the right to respect for his home and private and family life.
- Article 1 of the First Protocol that every person is entitled to the peaceful enjoyment of his or her possessions (including for example the possession of a licence)
- Article 14 the prohibition of discrimination. The rights and freedoms within the Convention shall be secured without discrimination on any ground.

However it is important to understand that many of the rights and freedoms are subject to limitations, enabling a balance to be struck between the rights of an individual and the wider interests of a democratic society. Thus a person's individual convention rights may be lawfully interfered with but only if there is a law which permits it. The interference must also be no more than is necessary and proportionate to the aims.

10.12 The Council recognises the importance of the Equality Act 2010. In applying the Gambling Licensing Policy the Council will have due regard to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination regarding age, disability, gender reassignment, race, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership and pregnancy and maternity. The council will do all it can to promote equality of opportunity and good relations between persons of different minority groups.

Duplication

10.13 In exercising its new functions under the Act the Council will seek to avoid duplication with other regulatory regimes, particularly when attaching conditions to licence approvals.

10.14 It is recognised that there should be a clear separation of the land use planning and licensing regimes. It is expected that any necessary planning consents will be obtained prior to any licence application.

Casinos

- 10.15 Under Section 166 of the Act the Council may pass a resolution not to issue any casino premises licences. The Council has not passed such a resolution but should it decide to do so in the future, it will update this Policy Statement.

11. ADMINISTRATION, EXERCISE AND DELEGATION OF FUNCTIONS

- 11.1 The Council is involved in a wide range of licensing decisions and functions and has established a Licensing and Control Committee to administer them.
- 11.2 The Council appreciates the need to provide a speedy, efficient and cost-effective service to all parties involved in the licensing process, and will delegate certain decisions and functions to sub-committees as appropriate.
- 11.3 The grant of non-contentious applications, including those licences and permits where no representations have been made, are delegated to Council Officers in accordance with statutory requirements.
- 11.4 The Council will clearly specify in the licence application pack the information required from applicants. The Council will not regard an application as properly made, and will not register an application as made, unless and until all such information is provided and the relevant fee has been paid.
- 11.5 The operational aspects of administering the licensing functions pursuant to the Gambling Act 2005 will be subject to periodic review.

12. CONTACT POINT

- 12.1 For advice on any aspect of this policy please contact:-

The Licensing Unit
Public Health & Regulation
Portland House
Richmond Road
Worthing
BN11 1 HS

Principal Author and Contact Officer: Simon Jones

Telephone 01903 221068 or **Email: licensing.unit@adur-worthing.gov.uk**

- 12.2 Information regarding the Gambling Act 2005, applications and policies can be found on the council's website at:

<http://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/licensing-consultations/>

SUMMARY OF SOME TERMS UNDER THE GAMBLING ACT 2005

The definitions and tables included in following pages do not form part of this policy and are nationally set. They are included in this document to assist the reader. This document should be read in conjunction with the Gambling Act 2005 (the Act) and Gambling Commission Guidance to Local Authorities.

CATEGORIES OF GAMING MACHINE

Gaming machines (fruit machines, slot machines) fall into categories depending on the maximum stake and prize available:

Machine category	Maximum stake (from January 2014)	Maximum prize (from January 2014)
A	Unlimited	Unlimited – No category A machines are currently permitted
<u>B1</u>	£5	£10,000 (with the option of a maximum £20,000 linked progressive jackpot on a premises basis only)
<u>B2</u>	£100	£500
<u>B3</u>	£2	£500
B3A	£2	£500
<u>B4</u>	£2	£400
<u>C</u>	£1	£100
<u>D non-money prize (other than crane grab machine)</u>	30p	£8
<u>D non-money prize (crane grab machine)</u>	£1	£50
<u>D money prize</u>	10p	£5
<u>D combined money and non-money prize (other than coin pusher or penny falls machines)</u>	10p	£8 (of which no more than £5 may be a money prize)
<u>D combined money and non-money prize (coin pusher or penny)</u>	20p	£20 (of which no more than £10 may be a money prize)

<i>Machine category</i>	<i>Maximum stake (from January 2014)</i>	<i>Maximum prize (from January 2014)</i>
<u><i>falls machine)</i></u>		

DRAFT

NUMBER OF GAMING MACHINES BY PREMISES TYPE

Premises Type	Machine Category					
	A	B1	B2	B4	C	D
Large Casino (machine/table ratio of 5:1 up to maximum)	Maximum of 150 machines Any combination of machines in categories B to D (except B3A machines), within the total limit of 150 (subject to machine/table ratio)					
Small Casino (machine/table ratio of 2:1 up to maximum)	Maximum of 80 machines Any combination of machines in categories B to D (except B3A machines), within the total limit of 80 (subject to machine/table ratio)					
Pre- 2005 Act Casino (no machine/table ratio)	Maximum of 20 machines Any combination of machines in categories B to D (except B3A machines), or any number of C or D machines instead					
Bingo Premises	Maximum of 20% of the total number of gaming machines which are available for use on the premises in categories B3 or B4. No limit on category C or D machines					
Betting Premises and Tracks occupied by pool betting	Maximum of 4 machines categories B2 to D (except B3A machines)					
Adult Gaming Centre	Maximum of 20% of the total number of gaming machines which are available for use on the premises in categories B3 or B4. No limit on category C or D machines					
Family Entertainment Centre (with premises licence)	No limit on category C or D machines					
Family Entertainment Centre (with permits)	No limit on category D machines					
Clubs or miners' welfare institute (with permits)	Maximum of 3 machines in categories B3A or B4 to D					
Qualifying alcohol-licensed premises	1 or 2 machines of category C or D automatic upon notification					
Qualifying alcohol-licensed premises (with gaming machine permit)	Number of category C to D machines as specified on permit					
Travelling Fair	No limit on category D machines					

CATEGORIES OF CASINO

Casino games are defined by the Act to mean a game of chance which is not equal chance gaming. Equal chance gaming is gaming which does not involve playing or staking against a bank, and where the chances are equally favourable to all participants.

TYPE OF CASINO	REQUIREMENTS
Regional	Minimum customer area of 5000 M/2. Casino games, bingo and betting, and up to 1250 gaming machines
Large	Minimum customer area 1500 M/2. Casino games, bingo and betting, and up to 150 gaming machines
Small	Minimum customer area of 750 M/2. Casino games and betting, and up to 80 gaming machines

BINGO

Bingo has no statutory definition. It is to have its ordinary and natural meaning. Under the previous legislation, two types of bingo could be offered:

- cash bingo, where the stakes paid made up the cash prizes that were won; or
- prize bingo, where various forms of prizes were won, not directly related to the stakes paid.

Traditionally, cash bingo was the main type of bingo played in commercial bingo halls (Part II of the Gaming Act 1968). They could also offer prize bingo, largely as interval games (section 21 of the 1968 Act). Under the 2005 Act, the distinction between these two versions of the game is being abolished for commercial operators, and the holder of a bingo operating licence will be able to offer any type of bingo game, whether cash or prize. That means that premises with a bingo premises licence, or a casino premises licence (where the operator holds a bingo as well as a casino operating licence), will be able to offer bingo in all its forms.

Apart from commercial bingo halls, prize bingo is traditionally a game played in arcades, especially seaside amusement arcades, or travelling funfairs. For these operators, prize bingo is being subsumed within the allowances for prize gaming in the Act. This means that adult gaming centres, both licensed and unlicensed family entertainment centres, and travelling fairs, (or any premises with a prize gaming permit) will be able to offer prize gaming, which includes prize bingo.

In this form of gaming, the nature of the prize must not be determined by reference to the number of people playing the game, and the nature or the size of the prize must not be determined by reference to the amount paid for or raised by the gaming.

ADULT GAMING CENTRES

Adult gaming centres (AGCs) are a category of premises introduced by the Act. Persons operating an AGC must hold a gaming machines general operating licence from the Commission and must seek a premises licence from the licensing authority. They will be able to make category B, C and D gaming machines available to their customers.

Although the term “adult gaming centre” has been commonly used in relation to some premises with licences under section 34 of the Gaming Act 1968, such as amusement arcades, this is perhaps a misleading description. Arcades licensed by virtue of section 34 are not permitted to have machines that are the equivalent of category B machines, nor is there a statutory ban on children and young persons entering the premises (though most arcades located in city centres choose not to admit under-18s).

DRAFT

ADUR & WORTHING COMMUNITY PROFILE 2014



Adur &
Worthing
councils



CONTENTS

<u>INTRODUCTION</u>	<u>01</u>
<u>SUMMARY</u>	<u>02</u>
<u>POPULATION</u>	<u>13</u>
<u>ETHNIC GROUP</u>	<u>18</u>
<u>EDUCATION</u>	<u>22</u>
<u>WORK & BENEFITS</u>	<u>31</u>
<u>DEPRIVATION</u>	<u>38</u>
<u>FUEL POVERTY</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>HOUSING</u>	<u>44</u>
<u>HEALTH</u>	<u>50</u>
<u>CRIME</u>	<u>58</u>

INTRODUCTION

This report aims to provide a picture of the District of Adur and the Borough of Worthing.

Sections

The report is divided into sections. Each shows data for a different issue such as population, crime, and health etc. Each section is in a different colour for ease of finding. You can also go directly to sections by clicking on them in the contents page.

Data

The report contains statistical data on the following geographical levels:

Local Authority level – Adur & Worthing

Electoral Ward level – There are 14 in Adur and 13 in Worthing

And in some places a:

Super Output Area Level. (These are areas of approximately 1,000 population).

More Data

At the beginning of each section there are links in arrows to more detailed information. The majority of this data sits on the Adur and Worthing Council web site.

Use of the report

The report will be useful in the production of funding bids, decision making and priority setting.

Who is the report for?


The report is intended for everyone who has an interest in Adur and Worthing. This includes Council officers, Councillors, partner organisations, including third sector organisations, local residents and indeed anyone who wants to find out more about the areas.

More Detailed Information

<http://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/about-the-councils/facts-and-figures/>

SUMMARY – Local Authority Level

Adur



Population: 61,300

Population Density: Average for district much higher compared to both England and the South East but lower than Worthing. Varies greatly across the district.

Ethnic Group: High proportion of “White; British” in most wards.

Deprivation: Most deprived local authority area in West Sussex.

Life Expectancy: Average of 79.6 years. Slightly higher than Worthing and the South East and higher than England average.

Education: GCSE attainment improved over 10% in most recent year. However, just over a quarter of the adult population have no qualifications, the highest level for any local authority area in West Sussex.


Work & benefits: 2nd highest unemployment rate in West Sussex.

Fuel Poverty: In certain areas 1 in 10 properties in fuel poverty.

Health: Adult population defining their health as ‘bad or very bad’ significantly worse than England average. Obesity, smoking, low physical activity levels, diabetes, cancer, limiting long term illness, self-harm and high number of carers key issues.

Crime: Reduction in total crimes committed 2012 to 2013. Great variation across the district though. Anti-social behaviour most common crime.

Worthing



Population: 104,600

Population Density: Average for district much higher compared to both England and the South East and over double that of Adur. Varies greatly across the district.

Ethnic Group: High proportion of ‘White; British’ in most wards with some central areas having a significant ‘White: White Other’ population e.g. other E.U countries.

Deprivation: 3rd most deprived local authority area in West Sussex.

Life Expectancy: Average of 79.1 years. Slightly lower than Adur and the South East and higher than England average.

Education: GCSE attainment decreased nearly 10% in most recent year.

Work & benefits: 3rd highest unemployment rate in West Sussex.


Fuel Poverty: In certain areas over 1 in 10 properties in fuel poverty.

Health: Obesity, alcohol, excess winter deaths, limiting long term illness, cancer and self-harm key issues.

Crime: Reduction in total crimes committed 2012 to 2013. Great variation across the district though. Anti-Social behaviour most common crime.


SUMMARY – Adur Ward Level

Buckingham




Population: 3,813. Smallest ward in Adur
Population Density: Low
Ethnic Group: High proportion of 'White; British'
Deprivation: Least deprived ward in Adur
Life Expectancy: 82 years, highest in Adur
Education: Excellent educational achievements
Work & benefits: Low levels of unemployment & benefit claimants
Housing: Large number of detached houses
Fuel Poverty: Below 10% of properties
Crime: Lowest in both Adur & Worthing.

Churchill



Population: 4,411.
Population Density: 3rd highest in Adur
Ethnic Group: High proportion of 'White; British'
Deprivation: Overall relatively low levels of deprivation however 'education' more significant
Life Expectancy: 80 years
Education: High percentage of population with 'No qualifications'. Low GCSE achievement
Work & benefits: Medium level
Housing: High number of flats
Fuel Poverty: Below 10% of properties
Crime: Highest in Adur.

Cokeham



Population: 4,198
Population Density: Medium
Ethnic Group: High proportion of 'White; British'
Deprivation: Not significant
Life Expectancy: 80.9 years
Education: Medium level
Work & benefit: Medium level
Housing: Most properties medium sized, semi-detached.
Fuel Poverty: Below 10% of properties
Crime: Relatively low

Eastbrook



Population: 4,607. 2nd largest ward in Adur. High number of young children.

Population Density: Comparatively high

Ethnic Group: High proportion of 'White; British'

Deprivation: Ranked most deprived ward in Adur & 6th most deprived ward in West Sussex.

Life Expectancy: 78.9 years

Education: Very good educational achievements last year. 3rd highest GCSE attainment in Adur, level above England average

Work & Benefits: Highest level of unemployment in


Adur. Highest level of out of work benefit claimants in Adur

Housing: Large number of flats

Fuel Poverty: Over 10% of properties in some areas

Crime: Relatively high. Burglary (other than house burglary) highest in Adur

Hillside



Population: 4,212

Population Density: Relatively low

Ethnic Group: High proportion of 'White; British'

Deprivation: Not significant

Life Expectancy: 78.3 years. 3rd lowest in Adur

Education: Highest GCSE results in Adur, above England average


Work & Benefits: Medium level

Housing: Large number of medium sized houses

Fuel Poverty: Over 9% of properties in some areas.

Crime: Relatively high. Public place violent crime highest in Adur.

Manor



Population: 4,247

Population density: Lowest in Adur

Ethnic Group: High proportion of 'White; British'

Deprivation: Low levels of deprivation

Life Expectancy: 81.4 years. 2nd highest in Adur

Education: Low rate of 'no qualifications', however GCSE attainment also low.

Work & Benefits: Low rates benefit claimants

Housing: High number of detached properties

Fuel Poverty: Over 11% of properties in some areas.

Crime: Relatively low

Marine




Population: 4,524
Population Density: 4th highest in Adur
Ethnic Group: High proportion of 'White; British'. Also 3% 'White; non British'
Deprivation: Low levels of deprivation
Life Expectancy: 80.2 years
Education: Lowest level of 'no qualifications' in Adur. However, GCSE results only medium
Work & Benefits: Low rates benefit claimants

Housing: Mix of housing, high number of detached properties and flats.

Fuel Poverty: Less than 8% of properties.


Crime: Relatively low. However, increase in vehicle crime.

Mash Barn




Population: 4,437
Population Density: Medium
Ethnic Group: High proportion of 'White; British'
Deprivation: Ranked 3rd most deprived ward in Adur
Life Expectancy: 78.8 years. 4th lowest in Adur.
Education: Medium
Work & Benefits: Medium rate of benefit claimants
Housing: High number of medium sized houses
Fuel Poverty: Less than 10% of properties.
Crime: Relatively high

Peverel



Population: 4,363
Population Density: 2nd lowest in Adur
Ethnic Group: High proportion of 'White; British'
Deprivation: Overall low levels of deprivation however 'education' significant
Life Expectancy: 77.7 years. 2nd lowest in Adur
Education: GCSE attainment low
Work & Benefits: 3rd highest rate of unemployment in Adur. High number of young people unemployed.
Housing: High number of medium sized houses
Fuel Poverty: Less than 7% of properties.
Crime: Relatively low

Southlands



Population: 3,981
Population density: Highest in Adur
Ethnic Group: High proportion 'White; British'. Small 'Asian population 1.3%'
Deprivation: 2nd most deprived ward in Adur
Life Expectancy: 79.7 years
Education: Poor. Highest rate of 'no qualifications' & lowest GCSE attainment in Adur.

Work & Benefits: High rate of unemployment. High number of carers.

Housing: High number of medium sized houses and some flats

Fuel Poverty: Over 10% of properties in some areas.

Crime: Medium level. However, increase has occurred.

Southwick Green



Population: 4,436
Population Density: Medium
Ethnic Group: High proportion 'White; British'
Deprivation: Not significant
Life Expectancy: 79.7 years
Education: Relatively good
Work & Benefits: Medium levels
Housing: Mix of housing
Fuel Poverty: Over 11% of properties in some areas.
Crime: Relatively high

St. Mary's




Population: 4,400
Population density: 5th highest in Adur
Ethnic Group: High proportion 'White; British'. Small 'Asian population, 1.3%'
Deprivation: Ranked 4th most deprived ward in Adur
Life Expectancy: 76.7 Lowest in Adur
Education: Poor. 2nd lowest GCSE attainment in Adur
Work & Benefits: 2nd highest rate of unemployment in Adur.

Housing: Mix of older properties and flats

Fuel Poverty: Over 9% of properties in some areas.

Crime: Relatively high. Highest rate of house burglary in Adur.


St. Nicolas



Population: 3,829. 2nd smallest ward in Adur
Population density: 2nd highest in Adur
Ethnic Group: High proportion 'White; British'
Deprivation: 2nd least deprived ward in Adur
Life Expectancy: 83 years. Highest in Adur
Education: Very good .Low percentage of population with 'no qualifications' & high rates of GCSE attainment.
Work & Benefits: Low rates of unemployment and benefit claimants

Housing: High number of medium sized houses
Fuel Poverty: Over 10% of properties in some areas.
Crime: Relatively low

Widewater




Population: 5,715. Largest ward in Adur.
High number of older people
Population density: Medium
Ethnic Group: High proportion 'White; British'
Deprivation: Not significant
Life Expectancy: 79.7 years
Education: Poor. 2nd highest rate of 'no qualifications' & 3rd lowest rate of GCSE's in Adur.
Work & Benefits: Low rates of unemployment

Housing: Mix of housing, including some caravans.
Fuel Poverty: Over 7% of properties in some areas.
Crime: Relatively low.

SUMMARY – Worthing Ward Level

Broadwater




Population: 9,373
Population Density: Medium
Ethnic Group: High proportion of 'White; British'
Deprivation: Not significant on a ward level
Life Expectancy: 80 years.
Education: 3rd lowest GCSE achievement in Worthing
Work & benefits: Medium rate of total benefit claimants. However, highest percentage of people claiming Job seekers allowance for over 12 months in Worthing.
Housing: Highest percentage of terraced homes in Worthing.

Fuel Poverty: Over 10% of properties in some areas.

Crime: Relatively high.

Castle




Population: 8,020
Population Density: Medium
Ethnic Group: High proportion of 'White; British'
Deprivation: Not significant on a ward level except for the 'education'.
Life Expectancy: 80.2 years.
Education: High percentage of population with no qualifications, low GCSE achievement
Work & benefits: Medium rate of total benefit claimants. However, highest percentage of people claiming carers allowance in Worthing.

Housing: Highest percentage of semi-detached homes in Worthing.

Fuel Poverty: 8% of properties in some areas.

Crime: Relatively high

Central



Population: 9,890. Largest ward in both Adur & Worthing

Population Density: High. 2nd highest in Worthing

Ethnic Group: High proportion of 'White; British' & also largest population of 'White: White Other', e.g. E.U Countries in Worthing.

Deprivation: Most deprived ward in Worthing. 4th most deprived ward in West Sussex

Life Expectancy: 76.2 years

2nd lowest in Worthing. Figure below South East & England average.

Education: Lowest level of GCSE achievement in Worthing


Work & Benefits: Highest rate of benefit claimants in Worthing

Housing: Over 60% of homes are flats

Fuel Poverty: Highest rate in both Adur & Worthing. Over 15% of properties in some areas.

Crime: Highest crime rate in both Adur & Worthing. Anti-social behaviour key issue.

Durrington



Population: 5,528.

2nd smallest ward in Worthing

Population Density: Medium

Ethnic Group: High proportion of 'White; British

Deprivation: Not significant

Life Expectancy: 82.3 years.

2nd highest in Worthing

Education: High proportion of population with no qualifications.


Work & benefits: Medium rate of benefit claimants.

Housing: Mix of housing type.

Fuel Poverty: Over 7% of properties in some areas.

Crime: Relatively high, increase over time.

Gaisford



Population: 8,020

Population Density: Medium

Ethnic Group: High proportion of 'White; British'

Deprivation: Not significant on a ward level

Life Expectancy: 79.6 years.

Education: Low proportion of population with 'no qualifications'.


Work & benefits: Low level of benefit claimants.

Housing: Mix of housing type.

Fuel Poverty: Over 10% of properties in some areas.

Crime: Relatively high

Goring



Population: 7,990

Population Density: Relatively low

Ethnic Group: High proportion of 'White; British'

Deprivation: Not significant

Life Expectancy: 80.9 years.

Education: 2nd highest GCSE achievement in Worthing

Work & benefits: Low level of total benefit claimants.


However, highest percentage of those over 50 years old claiming job seekers allowance in Worthing.

Housing: Over 50% of houses are detached

Fuel Poverty: Over 10% of properties in some areas

Crime: Lowest crime rate in Worthing

Heene



Population: 7,859

Population Density: Highest in Worthing

Ethnic Group: High proportion of 'White; British' & also largest population of 'White: White Other', e.g. E.U Countries in Worthing.

Deprivation: 2nd most deprived ward in Worthing.

Life Expectancy: 74.7 years. Lowest in Worthing.

Education: Medium levels


Work & Benefits: 2nd highest rate of total benefit claimants in Worthing.

Housing: Highest percentage of flats in Worthing, nearly 80%.

Fuel Poverty: 2nd highest rate in Worthing. Over 13% of properties in some areas.

Crime: Relatively high. Anti-social behaviour key issue.

Marine



Population: 7,999

Population Density: Relatively low

Ethnic Group: High proportion of 'White; British'

Deprivation: Not significant

Life Expectancy: 81.4 years.

Education: Highest GCSE achievement in Worthing and lowest rate of 'no qualifications'.


Work & Benefits: Low rate of benefit claimants.

Housing: Mix of housing type.

Fuel Poverty: Over 10% of properties in some areas.

Crime: 2nd lowest in Worthing.

Northbrook




Population: 4,973. Smallest ward in Worthing
Population Density: Relatively low
Ethnic Group: High proportion of 'White; British'
Deprivation: 'Income' and 'Education' issues
Life Expectancy: 79.7 years.
Education: GCSE achievement relatively low
Work & benefits: 3rd highest rate of total benefit claimants in Worthing. Highest percentage of 18-24 year olds claiming Job Seekers Allowance in Worthing.
Housing: 2nd highest percentage of terraced homes in Worthing.

Fuel Poverty: Over 7% of properties in some areas.

Crime: High crime rate. 'Theft & handling' and 'criminal damage' key issues.

Offington




Population: 7,719
Population Density: Lowest in Worthing
Ethnic Group: High proportion of 'White; British'
Deprivation: Not significant
Life Expectancy: 82.8 years. Highest in Worthing
Education: Good. Low proportion of population with 'no qualifications'
Work & benefits: Lowest rate of benefit claimants in Worthing.
Housing: Highest percentage of detached houses in Worthing, nearly 60%.

Fuel Poverty: Over 11% of properties in some areas.


Crime: Relatively low crime rate. However, house burglary high.

Salvington



Population: 8,893
Population Density: Medium
Ethnic Group: High proportion of 'White; British'
Deprivation: Not significant
Life Expectancy: 78.9 years.
Education: GCSE achievement relatively low
Work & benefits: Low levels of benefit claimants.
Housing: Mix of housing type.
Fuel Poverty: Over 10% of properties in some areas.
Crime: Relatively high. Increase over recent time. Anti-social behaviour and criminal damage key issues.

Selden



Population: 8,377

Population Density: Medium

Ethnic Group: High proportion of 'White; British' & also 5.3% 'White: Other White' e.g. E.U countries.

Deprivation: Ranked in top 20% most deprived in England for 'Health & Disability' & 'Living Environment'

Life Expectancy: 76.9 years. 3rd lowest in Worthing

Education: 2nd lowest rate of GCSE achievement in Worthing


Work & benefits: High rate of total benefit claimants.

Housing: Mix of housing type.

Fuel Poverty: Over 13% of properties in some areas.

Crime: Relatively low. Big decrease 2012 to 2013.

Tarring



Population: 8,646

Population Density: Medium

Ethnic Group: High proportion of 'White; British'

Deprivation: Not significant

Life Expectancy: 78.9 years.

Education: Good.

Work & benefits: Low rate of total benefit claimants

Housing: Mix of housing type.

Fuel Poverty: Over 11% of properties in some areas.

Crime: Relatively high.

POPULATION



More Detailed Information

<http://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/about-the-councils/facts-and-figures/census/#population-groups>

<http://www.neighbourhood.statistics.gov.uk/dissemination/>

<http://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/about-the-councils/facts-and-figures/> 13

Population

2011 CENSUS: ADUR 61,300

WORTHING 104,600

MID YEAR ESTIMATES 2012: ADUR 61,929

WORTHING 105,661


2001 & 2011 Census

Total Pop	Year 2001	Year 2011	Change	% Increase
Adur	59,600	61,300	1,700	2.9%
Arun	140,000	149,200	8,500	6.0%
Chichester	106,500	113,700	7,200	6.8%
Crawley	99,700	106,800	7,100	7.1%
Horsham	122,100	131,600	9,500	7.8%
Mid Sussex	127,400	139,800	12,400	9.7%
Worthing	97,600	104,600	7,000	7.1%

Adur had the lowest population increase in West Sussex between 2001 & 2011

Worthing had joint third highest population increase in West Sussex from 2001 to 2011

Population pyramid – Adur (similar pattern for Worthing)



Note 'bulging' in the middle age range 35-50 years in 2011. Result of 'baby boom' in the 1960's and 70'. May lead to pressures on public sector services as this group of people get older with few young people to support them.

WARD POPULATIONS, AGE GROUPS – ADUR

10% highest numbers Adur
 10% highest %'s Adur

Age	Widewater	Eastbrook	Marine	Mash Barn	S'wick Green	Churchill	St Mary's	Peverel	Manor	Hillside	Cokeham	Southlands	St Nicolas	Buckingham
Total Pop	5715	4607	4524	4437	4436	4411	4400	4363	4247	4212	4198	3981	3829	3813
0 to 4	287 5.0%	321 7.0%	318 7.0%	249 5.6%	255 5.7%	256 5.8%	285 6.5%	233 5.3%	225 5.3%	228 5.4%	217 5.2%	268 6.7%	202 5.3%	193 5.1%
5 to 7	127 2.2%	174 3.8%	168 3.7%	148 3.3%	142 3.2%	123 2.8%	144 3.3%	142 3.3%	120 2.8%	131 3.1%	108 2.6%	122 3.1%	126 3.3%	124 3.3%
8 to 9	78 1.4%	115 2.5%	97 2.1%	109 2.5%	93 2.1%	81 1.8%	76 1.7%	75 1.7%	82 1.9%	90 2.10%	79 1.9%	114 2.9%	60 1.6%	62 1.6%
10 to 14	198 3.5%	284 6.2%	194 4.3%	289 6.5%	227 5.1%	196 4.4%	205 4.7%	252 5.8%	286 6.7%	242 5.7%	186 4.4%	243 6.1%	176 4.6%	195 5.1%
15	46 0.8%	68 1.5%	37 0.8%	75 1.7%	55 1.2%	46 1.0%	51 1.2%	59 1.4%	85 2.0%	60 1.4%	49 1.2%	76 1.9%	32 0.8%	44 1.2%
16 to 17	92 1.6%	153 3.3%	78 1.7%	133 3.0%	95 2.1%	94 2.1%	103 2.3%	116 2.7%	191 4.5%	95 2.3%	89 2.1%	93 2.3%	87 2.3%	82 2.2%
18 to 19	95 1.7%	126 2.7%	61 1.3%	118 2.7%	78 1.8%	81 1.8%	92 2.1%	90 2.1%	129 3.0%	92 2.2%	65 1.5%	89 2.2%	73 1.9%	67 1.8%
20 to 24	268 4.7%	260 5.6%	231 5.1%	252 5.7%	238 5.4%	251 5.7%	233 5.3%	210 4.8%	153 3.6%	213 5.0%	194 4.6%	214 5.4%	127 3.3%	138 3.6%
25 to 29	318 5.6%	270 5.9%	301 6.7%	227 5.1%	235 5.3%	231 5.2%	265 6.0%	208 4.8%	182 4.3%	176 4.2%	220 5.2%	224 5.6%	111 2.9%	163 4.3%
30 to 44	1027 18.0%	980 21.3%	1070 23.7%	828 18.7%	839 18.9%	730 16.5%	992 22.5%	837 19.2%	747 17.6%	797 18.9%	782 18.6%	784 19.7%	713 18.6%	716 18.8%
45 to 59	1113 19.5%	847 18.4%	919 20.3%	896 20.2%	895 20.2%	805 18.2%	812 18.5%	827 19.0%	838 19.7%	912 21.6%	927 22.1%	715 18.0%	764 20.0%	862 22.6%
60 to 64	428 7.5%	274 5.9%	311 6.9%	282 6.4%	312 7.0%	304 6.9%	260 5.9%	298 6.8%	324 7.6%	258 6.1%	337 8.0%	237 6.0%	349 9.1%	327 8.6%
65 to 74	744 13.0%	385 8.4%	357 7.9%	444 10.0%	478 10.8%	559 12.7%	399 9.1%	559 12.8%	474 11.2%	459 10.9%	531 12.6%	347 8.7%	497 13.0%	482 12.6%
75 to 84	604 10.6%	236 5.1%	271 6.0%	283 6.4%	340 7.7%	454 10.3%	307 7.0%	323 7.4%	301 7.1%	338 8.0%	312 7.4%	330 8.3%	325 8.5%	260 6.8%
84 to 89	167 2.9%	76 1.6%	78 1.7%	73 1.6%	88 2.0%	138 3.1%	110 2.5%	89 2.0%	68 1.6%	97 2.3%	80 1.9%	98 2.5%	119 3.1%	67 1.8%
90+	123 2.2%	38 0.8%	33 0.7%	31 0.7%	66 1.5%	62 1.4%	66 1.5%	45 1.0%	42 1.0%	33 0.8%	22 0.5%	27 0.7%	68 1.8%	31 0.8%

WARD POPULATIONS, AGE GROUPS – WORTHING

10% highest numbers Worthing
 10% highest %'s Worthing

Age	Central	Gaisford	Broadwater	Salvington	Tarring	Selden	Castle	Marine	Goring	Heene	Offington	Durrington	Northbrook
Total Pop	9890	9373	9373	8893	8646	8377	8020	7999	7990	7859	7719	5528	4973
0 to 4	737 7.5%	658 7.0%	721 7.7%	460 5.2%	590 6.8%	514 6.1%	484 6%	443 5.5%	308 3.9%	401 5.1%	314 4.1%	352 6.4%	364 7.3%
5 to 7	292 3.0%	386 4.1%	375 4.0%	289 3.2%	318 3.7%	264 3.2%	250 3.1%	235 2.9%	209 2.6%	158 2.0%	257 3.3%	176 3.2%	229 4.6%
8 to 9	148 1.5%	246 2.6%	261 2.8%	156 1.8%	202 2.3%	147 1.8%	179 2.2%	131 1.6%	149 1.9%	88 1.1%	171 2.2%	99 1.8%	144 2.9%
10 to 14	336 3.4%	610 6.5%	619 6.6%	469 5.3%	512 5.9%	430 5.1%	499 6.2%	376 4.7%	407 5.1%	212 2.7%	483 6.3%	262 4.7%	369 7.4%
15	73 0.7%	134 1.4%	150 1.60%	97 1.1%	83 1.0%	101 1.2%	92 1.1%	70 0.9%	74 0.9%	61 0.8%	100 1.30%	52 0.9%	93 1.9%
16 to 17	142 1.4%	234 2.5%	243 2.6%	188 2.1%	193 2.2%	160 1.9%	190 2.4%	169 2.1%	157 2.0%	101 1.3%	195 2.5%	114 2.1%	184 3.7%
18 to 19	175 1.8%	235 2.5%	238 2.5%	179 2.0%	174 2.0%	148 1.8%	191 2.4%	181 2.3%	163 2.0%	129 1.6%	143 1.9%	91 1.6%	162 3.3%
20 to 24	736 7.4%	553 5.9%	514 5.5%	382 4.3%	445 5.1%	511 6.1%	425 5.3%	382 4.8%	241 3.0%	511 6.5%	249 3.2%	253 4.6%	295 5.9%
25 to 29	967 9.8%	528 5.6%	578 6.2%	320 3.6%	493 5.7%	555 6.6%	418 5.2%	385 4.8%	243 3.0%	723 9.20%	220 2.9%	266 4.8%	317 6.4%
30 to 44	2582 26.1%	2130 22.7%	2114 22.6%	1554 17.5%	1918 22.2%	1894 22.6%	1473 18.4%	1436 18.0%	1101 13.8%	1699 21.6%	1318 17.1%	991 17.9%	1076 21.6%
45 to 59	1554 15.7%	1944 20.7%	1826 19.5%	1866 21.0%	1755 20.3%	1654 19.7%	1583 19.7%	1624 20.3%	1570 19.6%	1328 16.9%	1627 21.1%	1143 20.7%	1072 21.6%
60 to 64	411 4.2%	435 4.6%	493 5.3%	718 8.1%	527 6.1%	502 6.0%	536 6.7%	562 7.0%	677 8.5%	432 5.5%	624 8.1%	404 7.3%	255 5.1%
65 to 74	692 7.0%	611 6.5%	637 6.8%	1134 12.8%	711 8.2%	739 8.8%	816 10.2%	865 10.8%	1156 14.5%	610 7.8%	1041 13.5%	645 11.7%	284 5.7%
75 to 84	579 5.9%	462 4.9%	428 4.6%	750 8.4%	492 5.7%	473 5.6%	630 7.9%	733 9.2%	1036 13.0%	709 9.0%	675 8.7%	488 8.8%	100 2.0%
84 to 89	269 2.7%	129 1.4%	121 1.3%	196 2.2%	154 1.8%	167 2.0%	184 2.3%	243 3.0%	340 4.3%	348 4.4%	198 2.6%	134 2.4%	21 0.4%
90+	197 2.0%	78 0.8%	55 0.6%	135 1.5%	79 0.9%	118 1.4%	70 0.9%	164 2.1%	159 2.0%	349 4.4%	104 1.3%	58 1.0%	8 0.2%

ADUR & WORTHING WARD POPULATIONS

KEY POINTS

Eastbrook Ward in Adur has a high number of young children



Widewater Ward in Adur has a high number of older people



Both Broadwater and Northbrook Wards in Worthing have a high number of children and young people

Central Ward in Worthing has a high number of young working age people

ETHNIC GROUP



More Detailed Information

<http://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/about-the-councils/facts-and-figures/census/#ethnic-groups>

<http://www.neighbourhood.statistics.gov.uk/dissemination/>

<http://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/about-the-councils/facts-and-figures/> 18

ETHNIC GROUP – LOCAL AUTHORITY

'White; British' – largest ethnic group in both Adur and Worthing


White; British %*		
	2001	2011
Adur	95.5	92.9
Worthing	94.1	89.4
West Sussex	93.5	88.9
England	87.0	79.8

* As % of population. 2001 & 2011 Census.

Adur saw a 2.6% decrease in the ethnic group 'White; British' between 2001 & 2011

Worthing saw a 4.7% decrease in the ethnic group 'White; British' between 2001 & 2011


Both West Sussex and England also saw a decrease in the ethnic group 'White; British' between 2001 & 2011



The ethnic group 'White; British' decreased in all local authorities in West Sussex from 2001 to 2011.

ETHNIC GROUP – LOCAL AUTHORITY LEVEL Continued

'White; Other White' (includes White Polish, White other E.U, White Russian, etc.) – Second largest ethnic group in both Adur and Worthing.



Adur had the lowest proportion of 'White; Other White' in West Sussex for both 2001 & 2011

Worthing had the fourth highest percentage of 'White; Other White' in West Sussex in 2011. There was an increase from 2001 to 2011.

Differences in proportions of 'White; Other White' in the population are more pronounced when viewed on a ward level. See the next page.

More Detailed Information

<http://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/about-the-councils/facts-and-figures/census/#ethnic-groups>

ETHNIC GROUPS – WARD LEVEL

ADUR

Adur only has small populations of any other ethnic group except 'White; British'. These are a small 'Asian/Asian British; Bangladeshi' ethnic group in both St. Mary's Ward and Southlands Ward, 1.3% of the population in both wards in 2011. Marine Ward also had 3% of it's population in 2011 who were 'White; Other White'.

WORTHING

'White; British' & 'White; Other'

%*	White British		White Other		% Increase White; Other
	2001	2011	2001	2011	
Broadwater	94.8	90.7	1.6	2.5	56.3
Castle	95.4	91.3	1.4	2.2	57.1
Central	90.6	79.7	3.6	7.3	102.8
Durrington	96.0	94.2	1.2	1.5	25.0
Gaisford	93.6	88.4	2.8	3.9	39.3
Goring	95.4	93.5	1.6	1.8	20.0
Heene	92.5	85.0	3.2	6.2	94.0
Marine	93.8	90.6	2.2	3.4	54.5
Northbrook	94.9	91.9	1.8	2.4	33.3
Offington	95.5	94.2	1.7	2.0	17.6
Salvington	95.3	93.4	1.9	2.0	5.3
Selden	91.8	83.2	3.1	5.3	71.0
Tarring	93.9	91.2	2.2	2.9	31.8
Worthing average	94.1	89.4	2.2	3.5	59.1
West Sussex	93.5	88.9	2.2	4.0	81.8

The ethnic group White:Other increased in all wards in Worthing from 2001 to 2011.

Central Ward saw the largest increase in the ethnic group 'White; Other' from 2001 to 2011. A rise of over a 100%.

Heene and Selden Wards also saw a significant rise in the ethnic group 'White; Other' between 2001 and 2011.



* As % of usual resident population, 2001 & 2011 Census

EDUCATION



More Detailed Information

<http://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/about-the-councils/facts-and-figures/census/#qualifications-and-work>


<http://www.neighbourhood.statistics.gov.uk/dissemination/>

<http://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/about-the-councils/facts-and-figures/>

NO QUALIFICATIONS - LOCAL AUTHORITY LEVEL

Adur had the highest (worse) percentage of 16+ year olds with no qualifications in West Sussex, over a quarter of the 16+ population

Worthing had the third highest (worse) percentage of 16+ year olds with no qualifications in West Sussex




16 Years +, 2011 Census

NO QUALIFICATIONS – WARD LEVEL

ADUR

Nearly 1 in 3 people over 16 have no qualifications in Southlands Ward

10 of the 14 wards in Adur have levels of 'No qualifications' worse than the England average




16 Years +, 2011 Census

NO QUALIFICATIONS – WARD LEVEL

WORTHING


Over a quarter of people ages 16 plus have no qualifications in Durrington and Castle Wards.

8 of the 13 wards in Worthing have levels of 'No qualifications' below (better than) the England average.




16 Years +, 2011 Census

5+ GCSE'S ATTAINED- A* - C grades including Maths & English LOCAL AUTHORITY AREA LEVEL



5+ GCSE'S ATTAINED, 2011/12 - A* - C grades including Maths & English WARD LEVEL

ADUR




<http://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/about-the-councils/facts-and-figures/ward-profiles/#ward-profiles-2013>

<http://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/about-the-councils/facts-and-figures/>

5+ GCSE'S ATTAINED, 2011/12 - A* - C grades including Maths & English WARD LEVEL

WORTHING




<http://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/about-the-councils/facts-and-figures/ward-profiles/#ward-profiles-2013>

<http://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/about-the-councils/facts-and-figures/>

READINESS FOR SCHOOL, BY AGE 5. YEAR 2011/12

WARD LEVEL

ADUR




<http://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/about-the-councils/facts-and-figures/ward-profiles/#ward-profiles-2013>

<http://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/about-the-councils/facts-and-figures/>

READINESS FOR SCHOOL BY AGE 5, YEAR 2011/12 WARD LEVEL

WORTHING



<http://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/about-the-councils/facts-and-figures/ward-profiles/#ward-profiles-2013>

<http://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/about-the-councils/facts-and-figures/>

WORK & BENEFITS



More Detailed Information


<http://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/about-the-councils/facts-and-figures/census/#qualifications-and-work>

<http://www.neighbourhood.statistics.gov.uk/dissemination/>

<http://www.nomisweb.co.uk/>

UNEMPLOYMENT - National level 7.1%

Local Authority Level




<http://www.nomisweb.co.uk/>

Oct 2012 – Sept 2013

Unemployment on a Ward level

Job Seekers Allowance Claimants



- %
- No.
- Adur
- England



<http://www.nomisweb.co.uk/reports/lmp/ward/contents.aspx>
January 2014

Unemployment on a Ward level


Job Seekers Allowance Claimants Continued



<http://www.nomisweb.co.uk/reports/lmp/ward/contents.aspx>

<http://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/about-the-councils/facts-and-figures/>

FULL-TIME, PART-TIME & SELF-EMPLOYED



Fulltime workers have decreased from 2001 to 2010 in both Adur and Worthing, whereas the number of part-time and self-employed people has increased.

OUT OF WORK BENEFITS - ADUR

Top Ten Population in Adur

Top Ten Percent in Adur

		Buckingham	Churchill	Cokeham	Eastbrook	Hillside	Manor	Marine	Mash Barn	Peverel	Southlands	St Mary's	St Nicolas	S'wick Green	Widewater	ADUR	WORTHING	ENGLAND	
Benefit Claimants																			
Total Population →		3813	4411	4198	4607	4212	4247	4524	4437	4363	3981	4400	3829	4436	5715	61182	104640	53012456	
Nov-13	JSA claimants *																		
	Male	10	31	32	49	38	23	21	25	49	37	51	20	27	38	2.5%	2.6%	3.8%	
	Female	7	14	12	33	18	9	16	28	15	23	26	9	23	20	1.3%	1.3%	2.1%	
JSA by age of claimant **	Aged 18-24	5	15	20	20	15	10	10	15	25	10	20	15	10	15	29.4%	24.0%	25.6%	
	Aged 25-49	10	20	20	50	30	20	20	25	35	35	40	10	25	25	52.1%	53.6%	56.3%	
	Aged 50+	5	10	5	10	10	5	5	10	10	10	20	5	10	15	18.3%	22.3%	18.1%	
JSA by duration of claim **	Up to 6 months	10	30	25	50	35	25	25	35	45	40	45	15	30	35	63.7%	64.7%	53.8%	
	Between 6 and 12 months	0	5	10	10	5	5	5	10	5	10	15	5	5	10	14.8%	10.5%	15.1%	
	Over 12 months	5	10	10	20	15	5	5	10	15	10	15	10	10	15	21.5%	24.8%	31.1%	
May-13	Department of Work and Pensions (DWP) benefit claimants ***	Job seekers	30	60	60	110	75	50	35	65	65	80	95	35	65	80	2.4%	2.4%	3.5%
		ESA and incapacity	60	185	170	230	160	90	90	200	190	215	230	65	120	185	5.9%	6.0%	6.2%
		Lone parents	5	45	20	65	35	20	35	55	30	40	35	10	15	50	1.2%	0.9%	1.3%
		Carers	15	35	25	45	25	35	30	40	45	60	30	10	30	25	1.2%	1.0%	1.3%
		Others on income related benefits	0	10	15	10	10	5	5	10	5	10	5	10	10	20	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%
		Disabled	30	40	40	55	40	30	30	50	50	50	45	15	40	45	1.5%	1.2%	1.2%
		Key out of work benefits †	95	300	265	415	280	165	165	330	290	345	365	120	210	335	9.9%	9.6%	11.2%
		Total Claimants	145	380	335	520	350	235	230	425	390	465	445	155	285	405	12.8%	12.1%	13.9%

Highest amounts of out of work benefit claims are in Eastbrook Ward

<http://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/about-the-councils/facts-and-figures/>

OUT OF WORK BENEFITS -WORTHING

Top Ten Population in Worthing

Top Ten Percent in Worthing

		<i>Benefit Claimants</i>	Broad-water	Castle	Central	Durrington	Gaisford	Goring	Heene	Marine	Northbrook	Offington	Salvington	Selden	Tarring	WORTHING	ADUR	ENGLAND
		<i>Total Population</i> →	9373	8020	9890	5528	9373	7990	7859	7999	4973	7719	8893	8377	8646	104640	61182	53012456
Nov-13	JSA claimants *	Male	57 2.0%	54 2.2%	150 4.9%	36 2.2%	62 2.1%	33 1.6%	121 4.6%	44 2.0%	44 2.7%	25 1.2%	50 1.9%	88 3.4%	45 1.7%	2.6%	2.5%	3.8%
		Female	40 1.3%	43 1.8%	83 2.9%	9 0.5%	29 1.0%	25 1.2%	38 1.7%	28 1.2%	28 1.6%	12 0.5%	27 1.0%	36 1.4%	34 1.2%	1.3%	1.3%	2.1%
	JSA by age of claimant **	Aged 18-24	25 27.1%	30 30.9%	50 22.4%	10 27.3%	15 16.5%	10 20.7%	35 22.2%	15 23.9%	25 31.9%	5 19.4%	20 27.6%	30 25.8%	15 17.7%	24.0%	29.4%	25.6%
Nov-13	JSA by age of claimant **	Aged 25-49	50 52.1%	55 56.7%	120 52.2%	20 50.0%	50 56.0%	25 41.4%	85 55.1%	40 53.5%	40 52.8%	15 44.4%	45 59.2%	65 52.4%	50 62.0%	53.6%	52.1%	56.3%
		Aged 50+	20 20.8%	10 11.3%	60 25.4%	10 22.7%	25 26.4%	20 37.9%	35 22.8%	15 22.5%	10 15.3%	15 36.1%	10 13.2%	25 21.8%	15 20.3%	22.3%	18.3%	18.1%
		JSA by duration of claim **	Up to 6 months	60 61.5%	65 68.0%	135 57.3%	30 63.6%	60 64.8%	40 69.0%	95 59.5%	50 73.2%	50 66.7%	25 66.7%	55 69.7%	85 70.2%	55 69.6%	64.7%	63.7%
Nov-13	JSA by duration of claim **	Between 6 and 12 months	5 5.2%	15 13.4%	30 13.4%	5 9.1%	10 11.0%	0 3.4%	25 14.6%	5 5.6%	5 9.7%	5 8.3%	5 6.6%	15 10.5%	10 12.7%	10.5%	14.8%	15.1%
		Over 12 months	30 33.3%	20 18.6%	70 29.3%	10 27.3%	20 24.2%	15 27.6%	40 25.9%	15 21.1%	15 23.6%	10 25.0%	20 23.7%	25 19.4%	15 17.7%	24.8%	21.5%	31.1%
		May-13	Department of Work and Pensions (DWP) benefit claimants ***	Job seekers	130 2.2%	130 2.6%	280 4.7%	45 1.4%	115 2.0%	65 1.5%	200 4.0%	85 1.8%	115 3.4%	45 1.0%	95 1.8%	165 3.2%	95 1.8%	2.4%
ESA and incapacity	280 4.8%			325 6.6%	580 9.8%	195 5.9%	305 5.2%	160 3.8%	465 9.4%	195 4.2%	255 7.4%	110 2.5%	275 5.2%	390 7.5%	305 5.6%	6.0%	5.9%	6.2%
Lone parents	75 1.3%			70 1.4%	105 1.8%	30 0.9%	45 0.8%	25 0.6%	35 0.7%	25 0.5%	35 1.0%	5 0.1%	45 0.9%	40 0.8%	40 0.7%	0.9%	1.2%	1.3%
Carers	55 0.9%			80 1.6%	50 0.8%	50 1.5%	50 0.9%	55 1.3%	25 0.5%	30 0.7%	55 1.6%	25 0.6%	60 1.1%	55 1.1%	60 1.1%	1.0%	1.2%	1.3%
Others on income related benefits	20 0.3%			15 0.3%	30 0.5%	10 0.3%	20 0.3%	5 0.1%	35 0.7%	15 0.3%	10 0.3%	5 0.1%	20 0.4%	20 0.4%	10 0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%
Disabled	65 1.1%			90 1.8%	75 1.3%	40 1.2%	55 0.9%	45 1.1%	55 1.1%	45 1.0%	65 1.9%	35 0.8%	65 1.2%	55 1.1%	45 0.8%	1.2%	1.5%	1.2%
Key out of work benefits †	505 8.7%			540 11.0%	995 16.7%	280 8.4%	485 8.3%	255 6.0%	735 14.8%	320 6.9%	415 12.1%	165 3.8%	435 8.2%	615 11.8%	450 8.3%	9.6%	9.9%	11.2%
Total Claimants	635 11.0%			725 14.8%	1130 19.0%	375 11.3%	605 10.4%	365 8.6%	820 16.6%	410 8.9%	545 15.9%	245 5.7%	575 10.9%	740 14.2%	570 10.5%	12.1%	12.8%	13.9%

Highest amounts of out of work benefit claims are in Central Ward

<http://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/about-the-councils/facts-and-figures/>

DEPRIVATION



More Detailed Information


<http://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/about-the-councils/facts-and-figures/indices-of-deprivation/>

<http://www.neighbourhood.statistics.gov.uk/dissemination/>

<http://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/about-the-councils/facts-and-figures/> 38

DEPRIVATION – LOCAL AUTHORITY

The graph below shows the index of deprivation ranking of each local authority in West Sussex in relation to all the local authority areas in England, of which there are 326. The lower the number the more deprived the local authority area.



More Detailed Information

<http://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/about-the-councils/facts-and-figures/indices-of-deprivation/>

<http://www.neighbourhood.statistics.gov.uk/dissemination/>

Data source: Office for National Statistics. See Adur and Worthing Council Web site for a summary report.

DEPRIVATION – WARDS

Top 9 most deprived wards in West Sussex

West Sussex Deprivation Ranking (out of 145 wards)	District	Ward
1	Arun	River
2	Arun	Ham
3	Arun	Marine
4	Worthing	Central
5	Arun	Pevensey
6	Adur	Eastbrook
7	Adur	Southlands
8	Arun	Hotham
9	Worthing	Heene

Of the top 9 most deprived wards in West Sussex 4 are in Adur & Worthing. Two in Adur and two in Worthing

The two most deprived wards in Adur are Eastbrook & Southlands.

The two most deprived wards in Worthing are Central & Heene

The four wards above; Eastbrook & Southlands in Adur and Central & Heene in Worthing are in the 20% most deprived wards in England.

More Detailed Information

<http://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/about-the-councils/facts-and-figures/indices-of-deprivation/>

<http://www.neighbourhood.statistics.gov.uk/dissemination/>

Data source: Office for National Statistics. See Adur and Worthing Council Web site for a summary report.

<http://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/about-the-councils/facts-and-figures/> 40

DEPRIVATION – LOWER SUPER OUTPUT AREAS (Approx. 1,500 population)

Most deprived Lower Super Output Areas in West Sussex

10% most deprived in England

20% most deprived in England

LSOA	District	Ward	Deprivation Overall	Income	Employment	Health and Disability	Education	Barriers to Housing and Services	Crime	Living Environment.
E01031427	Arun	Ham	1764	3356	3468					
E01031456	Arun	River	2616	5781	1842					
E01031429	Arun	Ham	2783	1980	4471					
E01031454	Arun	River	3270	7924	3665	2613	5813	7359	1729	2122
E01031819	Worthing	Northbrook	3650	3108	3556	1736	2407	13394	9449	29333
E01031404	Arun	Bersted	3704	3343	5037	2924	2114	5821	17223	9593
E01031779	Worthing	Broadwater	3753	3537	3153	2129	2353	22408	16369	9661
E01031808	Worthing	Heene	3812	7992	2470	1263	9685	13246	12111	1472
E01031790	Worthing	Central	4023	6165	3238	1646	13977	21042	5634	1183
E01031436	Arun	Marine	4120	6335	3999	5043	5673	8366	4899	2232
E01031432	Arun	Hotham	4507	8660	2995	4049	7592	8328	7541	2266
E01031558	Crawley	Broadfield	4553	3228	5200	4149	2262	12762	12185	15226
E01031450	Arun	Pevensay	5018	3581	5731	4360	4109	10216	10642	12177
E01031371	Adur	Southlands	5399	5330	5570	2457	5331	11788	23012	5449
E01031348	Adur	Eastbrook	5627	6076	6974	3608	3067	13224	19667	4327
E01031811	Worthing	Heene	5952	11027	2814	872	17325	20507	18891	4102

When viewed on a small Lower Super Output Area Level it can be seen that a LSOA in NORTHBROOK is the most deprived area in both Adur and Worthing

FUEL POVERTY



More Detailed Information

[Do it yourself statistics - Adur & Worthing Councils](#)


<https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/department-of-energy-climate-change/series/fuel-poverty-statistics>

<http://jsna.westsussex.gov.uk/JSNA-Reports>


<http://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/about-the-councils/facts-and-figures/>

FUEL POVERTY

ADUR – Maps show all Lower Super Output Areas (LSOA's)



WORTHING



Source: New Low income high costs definition. <http://jsna.westsussex.gov.uk/jsna-Core-dataset>

HOUSING



More Detailed Information

[Census data - Adur & Worthing Councils](#)

<http://www.neighbourhood.statistics.gov.uk/dissemination/>

<http://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/about-the-councils/facts-and-figures/> 44

POPULATION DENSITY

ADUR

Ward	Number of persons per hectare	
	2001	2011
Southlands	61.6	62.3
St. Nicolas	45.1	44.1
Churchill	40.9	40.8
Marine	34.5	38.6
St. Mary's	36.6	38.1
Southwick Green	37.6	37.2
Eastbrook	29.8	30.9
Widewater	27.7	27.6
Mash Barn	16.8	16.8
Cokeham	16.0	15.8
Hillside	7.6	7.5
Buckingham	7.4	7.4
Peverel	5.7	5.7
Manor	5.0	5.1
Adur	14.5	14.6
South East	4.2	4.5
England	3.8	4.1

Southlands Ward has the highest population density in Adur, over four times the average in Adur. And over fifteen times the average for England.



Heene Ward has the highest population density for any ward in both Adur and Worthing. This is over 20 times higher than the average level for England.

When viewed on a smaller level than wards a Lower Super Output Area (approx. 1,500 people) in Heene Ward has an even higher population density of 124.1 people per hectare.

WORTHING

Ward	Number of persons per hectare	
	2001	2011
Heene	79.2	88.2
Central	54.5	66.1
Tarring	59.4	63.3
Gaisford	54.5	58.1
Marine	49.4	51.7
Durrington	49.7	50.5
Selden	44.5	47.2
Broadwater	43.3	47.1
Castle	37.3	37.2
Goring	25.5	23.3
Salvington	22.3	22.8
Northbrook	14.6	14.4
Offington	9.3	9.7
Worthing	30.7	32.2
South east	4.2	4.5
England	3.8	4.1

DETACHED HOUSES

ADUR

Detached Houses (As % of total dwellings)* ¹		
Ward	2001	2011
Buckingham	563 (34%)	579 (35%)
Manor	543 (32%)	536 (31%)
Marine	590 (33%)	605 (28%)
Hillside	447 (25%)	452 (25%)
St. Nicolas	397 (23%)	382 (21%)
Peverel	384 (20%)	372 (19%)
Widewater	524 (18%)	542 (18%)
Churchill	403 (19%)	392 (18%)
Southwick Green	346 (17%)	348 (17%)
Cokeham	282 (15%)	275 (14%)
Mash Barn	202 (11%)	198 (11%)
Southlands	114 (7%)	134 (8%)
Eastbrook	120 (7%)	131 (7%)
St. Mary's	108 (6%)	123 (6%)
Adur	5,023 (19%)	5,069 (18%)
South East	996,140 (29%)	1,037,338 (28%)
England	4,786,56 (23%)	5,128,552 (22%)

Buckingham Ward has the highest proportion of detached homes in Adur. Southlands, Eastbrook and St. Mary's have the lowest.

WORTHING

Detached Houses (As % of total dwellings)* ¹		
Ward	2001	2011
Offington	1,935 (59%)	1,938 (59%)
Goring	2,037 (52%)	2,020 (52%)
Salvington	1,763 (46%)	1,825 (46%)
Durrington	671 (26%)	645 (24%)
Northbrook	464 (25%)	451 (23%)
Marine	808 (21%)	788 (20%)
Castle	660 (19%)	654 (19%)
Gaisford	581 (15%)	584 (14%)
Tarring	386 (11%)	411 (11%)
Broadwater	310 (9%)	348 (9%)
Selden	323 (9%)	309 (8%)
Heene	211 (5%)	182 (4%)
Central	134 (3%)	159 (3%)
Worthing	10,283 (22%)	10,358 (21%)
South East	996,140 (29%)	1,037,338 (28%)
England	4,786,56 (23%)	5,128,552 (22%)

Over half of the homes in Offington and Goring Wards are detached compared to Central and Heene Wards where only 4% and 3% are detached.

FLATS

ADUR

Flat/Maisonette- Purpose built block or tenement		
Ward	2001	2011
Marine	509 (28%)	774 (36%)
Churchill	580 (28%)	609 (29%)
Eastbrook	442 (25%)	512 (27%)
Widewater	695 (24%)	766 (26%)
St. Mary's	349 (21%)	533 (26%)
St. Nicolas	256 (15%)	334 (19%)
Southwick Green	347 (17%)	330 (16%)
Peverel	266 (14%)	279 (15%)
Southlands	255 (15%)	259 (15%)
Mash Barn	237 (13%)	244 (13%)
Cokeham	181 (10%)	197 (10%)
Hillside	114 (6%)	101 (6%)
Manor	76 (4%)	75 (4%)
Buckingham	48 (3%)	48 (3%)
Adur	4,355 (16%)	5,061 (18%)
South East	442,992 (13%)	598,222 (16%)
England	2,967,790 (14%)	9,854,451 (17%)

WORTHING

Flat/Maisonette- Purpose built block or tenement		
Ward	2001	2011
Heene	1,660 (41%)	2,109 (49%)
Central	1,277 (30%)	2,187 (40%)
Marine	1,492 (38%)	1,496 (38%)
Selden	749 (20%)	902 (23%)
Tarring	771 (21%)	865 (23%)
Gaisford	737 (19%)	859 (21%)
Goring	695 (18%)	686 (18%)
Broadwater	477 (14%)	660 (17%)
Durrington	415 (16%)	410 (16%)
Castle	445 (13%)	454 (13%)
Salvington	402 (11%)	437 (11%)
Northbrook	176 (9%)	186 (10%)
Offington	294 (9%)	289 (9%)
Worthing	9,600 (21%)	11,540 (24%)
South East	442,992 (13%)	598,222 (16%)
England	2,967,790 (14%)	9,854,451 (17%)

Nearly one in two homes in Heene Ward are flats. The figure is also high in Central Ward. Of these flats in Heene 30% are in shared dwellings e.g. bedsits and 24% in Central Ward.



COUNCIL TAX BANDS

ADUR


Ward	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Buckingham	13 (1%)	36 (2%)	494 (30%)	705 (42%)	321 (19%)	65 (1%)	29 (2%)	0 (0%)
Churchill	317 (15%)	541 (25%)	772 (36%)	426 (20%)	53 (2%)	22 (1%)	1 (<1%)	1 (<1%)
Cokeham	150 (8%)	555 (29%)	920 (48%)	225 (12%)	56 (3%)	3 (<1%)	1 (<1%)	0 (0%)
Eastbrook	195 (10%)	545 (29%)	851 (45%)	230 (12%)	48 (3%)	30 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Hillside	75 (4%)	139 (8%)	736 (41%)	778 (43%)	72 (4%)	3 (<1%)	0 (0%)	1 (<1%)
Manor	107 (6%)	91 (5%)	723 (42%)	448 (26%)	202 (12%)	100 (6%)	54 (3%)	2 (<1%)
Marine	57 (3%)	193 (9%)	780 (36%)	631 (29%)	274 (13%)	125 (6%)	94 (4%)	0 (0%)
Mash Barn	216 (11%)	319 (17%)	1,091 (58%)	206 (11%)	53 (3%)	5 (<1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Peverel	177 (9%)	244 (13%)	923 (48%)	477 (25%)	67 (3%)	30 (2%)	10 (1%)	1 (<1%)
Southlands	148 (9%)	338 (20%)	918 (54%)	278 (16%)	11 (1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Southwick Green	137 (7%)	525 (26%)	585 (29%)	428 (21%)	239 (12%)	83 (4%)	38 (2%)	0 (0%)
St. Mary's	238 (12%)	467 (23%)	836 (42%)	308 (15%)	110 (6%)	29 (1%)	1 (<1%)	4 (<1%)
St. Nicolas	106 (6%)	188 (11%)	501 (28%)	423 (24%)	302 (40%)	182 (10%)	72 (4%)	0 (0%)
Widewater	710 (24%)	657 (22%)	1,033 (35%)	450 (15%)	93 (3%)	16 (1%)	5 (<1%)	1 (<1%)
Adur	2,646 (10%)	4,838 (18%)	11,163 (40%)	6,013 (22%)	1,901 (7%)	693 (3%)	305 (1%)	10 (<1%)
South East	9%	17%	26%	20%	13%	8%	6%	1%
England	25%	20%	22%	15%	9%	5%	4%	1%

COUNCIL TAX BANDS

WORTHING

Ward	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Broadwater	471 (12%)	994 (26%)	1,676 (44%)	381 (5%)	198 (5%)	60 (60%)	5 (<1%)	0 (0%)
Castle	264 (7%)	1,061 (30%)	1,107 (31%)	908 (26%)	185 (5%)	8 (<1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Central	2,045 (39%)	1,890 (20%)	745 (14%)	280 (8%)	139 (3%)	76 (1%)	41 (1%)	8 (<1%)
Durrington	140 (5%)	464 (30%)	1,087 (41%)	729 (21%)	166 (5%)	37 (1%)	7 (<1%)	0 (0%)
Gaisford	676 (17%)	841 (21%)	1,135 (29%)	983 (25%)	248 (6%)	72 (2%)	8 (<1%)	0 (0%)
Goring	81 (2%)	537 (14%)	621 (16%)	908 (23%)	1,119 (29%)	487 (13%)	139 (4%)	1 (<1%)
Heene	1,449 (35%)	1,330 (32%)	613 (15%)	400 (10%)	193 (5%)	95 (2%)	78 (2%)	8 (<1%)
Marine	502 (12%)	746 (18%)	966 (24%)	728 (18%)	711 (18%)	338 (8%)	64 (2%)	2 (<1%)
Northbrook	61 (3%)	313 (17%)	928 (49%)	354 (19%)	192 (10%)	37 (2%)	11 (1%)	0 (0%)
Offington	96 (3%)	182 (5%)	267 (8%)	1,065 (32%)	887 (27%)	558 (17%)	274 (8%)	0 (0%)
Salvington	198 (5%)	498 (13%)	1,189 (30%)	722 (18%)	762 (19%)	366 (9%)	208 (5%)	3 (<1%)
Selden	86 (2%)	1,140 (30%)	946 (25%)	531 (14%)	226 (6%)	45 (1%)	39 (1%)	1 (<1%)
Tarring	486 (13%)	727 (19%)	1,360 (36%)	921 (25%)	207 (6%)	45 (1%)	8 (<1%)	2 (<1%)
Worthing	7,334 (15%)	10,723 (22%)	12,640 (26%)	8,910 (19%)	5,233 (11%)	2,224 (5%)	882 (2%)	25 (<1%)
South East	9%	17%	26%	20%	13%	8%	6%	<1%
England	25%	20%	22%	15%	9%	5%	4%	<1%

HEALTH



More Detailed Information

[Health - Adur & Worthing Councils](#)

<http://www.localhealth.org.uk/>

http://www.apho.org.uk/default.aspx?QN=P_HEALTH_PROFILES

<http://jsna.westsussex.gov.uk/jsna-Core-dataset>

<http://www.neighbourhood.statistics.gov.uk/dissemination/>

<http://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/about-the-councils/facts-and-figures/>

LIFE EXPECTANCY (YEARS) – Adur 79.6 Worthing 79.1
South East 79.4 England 78.3

ADUR

Ward	Life Expectancy (years)
St Mary's	76.7
Peverel	77.7
Hillside	78.3
Mash Barn	78.8
Eastbrook	78.9
Southlands	79.7
Widewater	79.7
Southwick Green	79.7
Churchill	80.0
Marine	80.2
Cokeham	80.9
Manor	81.4
Buckingham	82.0
St. Nicolas	83.0

WORTHING

Ward	Life Expectancy (years)
Heene	74.7
Central	76.2
Selden	76.9
Tarring	78.9
Salvington	78.9
Gaisford	79.6
Northbrook	79.7
Broadwater	80.0
Castle	80.2
Goring	80.9
Marine	81.4
Durrington	82.3
Offington	82.8

Life expectancy rates are known to be lower in more deprived areas. The low life expectancy rates in both St. Mary's and Heene wards may be partly due to deprivation but also due to the existence of a high number of nursing homes in both these wards.

Stark gaps exist between the wards with lowest life expectancy rates and those with the highest. For example in Adur there is a 6.3 year and in Worthing an 8.1 year gap between the wards with the highest and lowest life expectancies.

Source: NHS West Sussex Calculations, 2010, based on deaths and population, 2000-2007. Most recent data. To be updated soon.

ADUR

Health Issue	Adur	England	Key points for Adur
General Health – Bad or Very Bad Stated by adults, 2011 Census	5.8% No 3,521	5.5%	Significantly worse than average for England.
Life Expectancy - Male Years	80.0	78.9	Although life expectancy for men in Adur is slightly higher than the average for England there is a stark difference of 6.1 years between the most deprived ward and least deprived ward in Adur.
Life Expectancy - Females Years	82.9	83.6	Life expectancy is relatively good. Although life expectancy for women in Adur is slightly higher than the average for England there is a stark difference of 7.3 years between the most deprived ward and least deprived ward in Adur.
Smoking rates; All adults % of adults who smoke	16.9	20.0	A slightly lower percentage of adults smoke in Adur as compared to England.
Smoking rates; routine and manual workers¹	49.0%	30.3%	Significantly worse than average for England Nearly half of routine/manual workers smoke.
Healthy Eating Adults % of adult population	26.0%	28.7%	Worse than average for England Only about a quarter of adults in Adur eating a healthy diet
Obese Children % Year 6, age 10-11	17.0%	19.2%	Although children's obesity in Adur is slightly lower than the England average obesity levels for adults are higher. Tackling obesity requires an early stage intervention.
Obese Adults	27.8% Number 13,815	24.2%	Percentage of adults obese in Adur significantly worse than England average. Over a quarter of adult population obese in Adur. Increase in obese adults in Adur in recent years. 22.5% of adults obese in Adur 2003-2005.
Physical Activity; Adults % of adults taking 150 mins physical activity per week	48.4%	56.0%	Significantly worse than England average Close to the worst rate in England of 43.8% of adults.

ADUR continued

Health issue	Adur	England	Key points for Adur
Diabetes % people diagnosed with diabetes	6.1 Number 3,078	5.8	Significantly worse than England average Numbers have increased in Adur over recent years, 5.6% 2009 – 2010
Incidence of malignant melanoma Per 100,000 people aged under 75	21.7	14.5	Significantly worse than England average Increase has occurred over recent years
Alcohol Higher risk drinking % aged 16+	22.1	22.3	Nearly a quarter of adults in Adur higher risk drinking Figure for Adur similar to that for England
Alcohol Hospital stays for alcohol related harm, per 100,000 aged 16+ population	1,926	1,895	Figure in Adur higher than that for England Adur has seen an increase over recent years
Self Harm Hospital stays per 100,000 population	321.0	207.9	Significantly worse than England average Increase over recent years
Limiting Long term illness or disability % of adults	20.1% Number 12,302	17.6%	Significantly worse than England average Increase over recent years
Falls Emergency hospital admissions for hip fractures per 100,000 (65 + year olds)	539	457	Not significantly different from averages for England Although level per 100,000 similar to England falls an issue as aging total population in Adur and certain areas in Adur have significantly large older age groups.
Excess winter deaths Ratio of excess winter deaths to non-winter deaths	24.4	19.1	Adur rate higher than average for England Increase over recent years
Carers % people providing 50 hrs or more unpaid care per week	2.7% Number 1,642	2.4%	Significantly worse than England average Increase over recent years
Dementia ² Number in 2013	Number 1,073	-	Levels to increase with aging population
Air Pollution Mortality, Age 25 + Long term exposure to PM _{2.5} (man made particulates less than 2.5 microns)	5.1% 36 deaths	5.6%	Adur mortality rate just below average for England and just above 5.0% rate for West Sussex.

WORTHING

Health Issue	Worthing	England	Key points for Worthing
General Health – Bad or Very Bad Stated by adults 2011 Census	5.3% No. 5,509	5.5%	Figure for Worthing very slightly better than England average
Life Expectancy Male Years	78.3	78.9	Life expectancy for men in Worthing is slightly lower than the England average stark inequalities also exist; life expectancy is 8.7 years lower for men in the most deprived ward as compared to the least deprived ward in Worthing.
Life Expectancy Females Years	82.9	82.9	Life expectancy for women in Worthing is the same as that for England. However, stark inequalities exist; life expectancy is 6.6 years lower for women in the most deprived ward as compared to the least deprived ward.
Smoking rates; All adults % of adults who smoke	20.5	20.0	A very slightly higher percentage of adults smoke in Worthing as compared to England.
Smoking rates; routine and manual workers¹	32.2%	30.3%	Worthing rate very slightly than England average
Healthy Eating Adults % of adult population	28.8%	28.7%	Rate for Worthing similar to that for England. Still means less than a third of adults in Worthing eating a healthy diet.
Obese Children % Year 6, age 10-11	14.8%	19.2%	Worthing rate is significantly lower than England average
Obese Adults %	25.1% Number 20,578	24.2%	% obese adults in Worthing higher than England average. Just over a quarter of adult population obese in Worthing Increase in obesity in Worthing over recent years (20.4% in 2003-2005).
Physical Activity; Adults % of adults taking 150 mins physical activity per week	53.2%	56.0%	Slightly lower than England average Only just over half of adult population in Worthing achieving recommended levels of physical activity.
Diabetes % people diagnosed with diabetes	5.5% No. 4,938	5.8%	Worthing level just slightly below England average. Rate has increased slightly in Worthing over recent years, 5.2% in 2009-2010.
Incidence of malignant melanoma Per 100,000 people aged under 75	20.1	14.5	Significantly worse than England average Increase has occurred over recent years

WORTHING continued

Health Issue	Worthing	England	Key points for Worthing
Alcohol Higher risk drinking % aged 16+	23.3%	22.3%	Worthing level similar to England average Nearly a quarter of adults are drinking at “higher risk” levels (more than 35 units and 50 units per week for women and men respectively)
Alcohol Hospital stays for alcohol related harm, per 100,000 aged 16+ population	1,985 Number 2,636	1,895	Significantly worse than England average Increase in recent years
Self Harm Hospital stays for Self Harm, per 100,000 population	272.6	207.9	Significantly worse than England average Slight increase over recent years
Limiting Long term illness or disability % of adults	19.4% Number: 20,276	17.6%	Significantly worse than England average Nearly one in five adults in Worthing has a long term limiting illness or disability.
Falls Emergency hospital admissions for hip fractures per 100,000 (65 + year olds)	467	457	Worthing slightly higher figure than England average
Excess winter deaths Ratio of excess winter deaths to non-winter deaths	29.9	19.1	Significantly worse than England average Increase over recent years
Carers % people providing 50 hrs. or more unpaid care per week	2.2% Number 2,292	2.4%	Worthing rate just below England average Increase over recent years
Dementia ² Number in 2013	Number 1,969	-	Levels to increase with aging population
Air Pollution Mortality Long term exposure to PM _{2.5} (man made particulates less than 2.5 microns diameter) Mortality age 25+	5.0% 69 deaths	5.6%	Worthing mortality rate just below average for England and identical to 5.0% rate for West Sussex.

Source: All figures in tables above taken from www.localhealth.org.uk except:

- 1- http://www.ociu.nhs.uk/sph-documents/cancerIneq1_051006_FINAL.pdf/www.tobaccoprofiles.info/tobacco-control#gid/1000110/par/E12000008/ati/101/page/0
- 2- http://www.alzheimers.org.uk/site/scripts/download_info.php?fileID=1057

KEY HEALTH FACTS - ADUR

HIGH SMOKING RATES IN MANUAL/ROUTINE JOB WORKERS

Nearly half of routine/manual workers smoke.
This is significantly worse than England average



OBESE ADULTS

Over a quarter of adult population are obese in Adur

PHSICAL ACTIVITY ADULTS

Close to England's worst rate

DIABETES

Over a quarter of adult population are diabetic

SELF HARM

Significantly worse than England average

CANCER

Incidence of malignant melanoma significantly worse
than England average

LIMITING LONG TERM ILLNESS OR DISABILITY

Significantly worse than England average

CARERS

Significantly worse than England average

KEY HEALTH FACTS - WORTHING

OBESE ADULTS

Just over a quarter of adult population in Worthing are obese

SELF HARM

Significantly worse than England average

LIMITING LONG TERM ILLNESS OR DISABILITY

Nearly one in five adults in Worthing has a limiting long term illness or disability

EXCESS WINTER DEATHS

Significantly worse than England average

CANCER

Incidence of malignant melanoma significantly worse than England average

ALCOHOL

High rates of hospital stays due to alcohol



CRIME



More Detailed Information

<http://jsna.westsussex.gov.uk/jsna-Core-dataset>

<http://www.neighbourhood.statistics.gov.uk/dissemination/>

<http://www.adur-worthing.gov.uk/about-the-councils/facts-and-figures/> 58

Sussex Police - West Sussex Neighbourhood Crime By Rolling Year

Neighbourhood Crime Comparison for the Rolling Years 01/09/2012 to 31/08/2013 and 01/09/2011 to 31/08/2012

Adur and Worthing

Rolling Year 01/09/2012 to 31/08/2013

Neighbourhood Policing Description	Crime	Change Crime	Alcohol Related Crime	Change Alcohol	Public Place Violent Crime	Change PPVC	Serious Sexual Offences	Change SSO	Domestic Abuse	Change DA	ASB	Change ASB	Criminal Damage	Change CD	Burglary Dwelling	Change BD	Burglary Other	Change BO	Other Theft & Handling	Change OTH	Vehicle Crime	Change VC
Broadwater	350	-116	35	12	32	3	2	-2	39	-11	270	-43	81	-20	13	6	30	-9	72	-39	36	-1
Buckingham	68	21	7	4	6	1	1	1	10	4	51	-17	13	2	9	4	1	-3	10	5	15	9
Churchill	267	-24	27	11	23	-1	6	2	25	2	197	-9	59	3	10	3	14	-22	63	2	35	-1
Cokeham	119	-32	4	-4	10	-2	2	-1	8	-9	141	-2	30	-2	8	-2	4	-5	29	-4	12	-4
Durrington	282	72	27	10	41	24	3	2	20	4	166	-6	98	42	12	2	12	0	46	3	11	-4
Eastbrook	224	-32	22	6	21	-10	2	0	29	-1	195	-4	52	-7	16	5	32	5	26	-18	25	-9
Gaisford	365	-60	32	6	34	-1	11	5	38	3	249	-50	80	-22	14	2	32	4	77	-47	29	2
Goring	175	-10	7	-1	14	-2	4	1	6	-2	181	-29	50	11	9	-3	20	2	38	-6	21	-2
Heene	353	-75	31	-2	27	-5	8	1	31	-5	429	15	52	-33	15	-3	35	0	118	1	35	-2
Hillside	253	-20	15	-8	35	-10	5	2	11	0	110	-56	20	-1	4	-11	11	6	111	-2	26	0
Manor	133	-21	8	-5	12	0	2	0	8	-8	131	-37	21	-12	10	-2	10	0	31	10	19	4
Mash Barn	223	-3	17	8	28	9	6	5	17	4	178	-21	58	-14	4	-4	14	1	60	-1	11	-9
Northbrook	369	17	26	1	22	-8	6	2	34	-2	0	0	95	33	10	-5	21	9	133	-5	18	3
Offington	224	-56	10	4	18	-9	2	2	9	-2	96	-60	31	-1	19	4	12	-14	66	-10	27	-13
Peveral	197	-32	19	2	15	-10	4	-1	24	-2	139	-101	49	-10	2	-5	29	13	22	-13	21	-1
Salvington	458	84	29	16	30	5	5	1	29	-4	258	-44	118	8	19	-7	28	14	125	31	46	18
Selden	219	-142	15	-12	13	-11	6	2	17	-11	195	-152	41	-42	9	-11	20	-9	63	-37	17	-9
Shoreham Marine	164	-7	11	1	15	2	2	-1	15	-4	109	-15	30	-14	8	4	11	-8	43	9	19	11
Southlands	176	25	10	-7	20	11	4	1	29	9	170	-5	42	11	7	-5	9	-4	26	-10	19	3
Southwick Green	234	-59	16	-5	19	-9	7	5	23	5	165	-81	41	-25	15	8	21	-20	59	-8	28	-2
St Mary's North	173	-7	5	1	21	6	4	2	16	6	109	12	25	-7	22	13	19	-8	28	-30	20	10
St Mary's South	227	-97	12	-2	26	-3	3	-1	8	-4	137	-36	30	0	2	-2	29	-20	92	-59	16	-6
St Nicholas	118	11	4	-7	8	1	2	1	1	-8	81	8	25	11	11	7	12	6	26	-7	26	9
Tarring	391	-21	34	10	38	5	6	6	35	1	244	-57	69	-22	18	-5	34	-18	128	12	31	0
Widewater	179	-68	18	-8	19	-21	1	-1	25	1	134	-88	33	-17	3	-8	15	-3	29	-10	23	0
Worthing Castle	451	-10	34	8	46	-6	13	6	45	-5	291	-158	93	-23	14	8	17	-11	142	29	35	-7
Worthing Central	953	-192	92	-1	118	-42	18	0	63	-21	1,174	-385	128	-66	22	7	82	-4	378	-57	36	-1
Worthing Marine	198	-14	11	-5	10	-3	2	-4	18	-9	241	-47	36	-5	11	-1	20	2	40	-10	36	12
Total	7,543	-868	578	33	721	-86	137	36	633	-69	5,841	-1,468	1,500	-222	316	-1	594	-96	2,081	-271	693	10

Data above provided by Sussex Police

KEY CRIME FACTS – From the table on previous page

TOTAL CRIME DOWN

7,543 crimes committed in Adur & Worthing in 2013. A decrease of 868 from the previous year.

ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR

Adur and Worthing's most common crime
Good news – decrease 2012 to 2013 of 1,468 incidents

HIGHEST CRIME RATE Central Ward - Worthing

Highest crime rate in both Adur & Worthing
Good news – 192 less crimes 2013 than 2012

LOWEST CRIME RATE Buckingham Ward - Adur

Lowest crime rate in both Adur & Worthing 2013

Churchill Ward

Had the highest crime rate in Adur 2013

Goring Ward

Had the lowest crime rate in Worthing 2013

PRODUCED BY

Neighbourhood Manager, Lynne Ward and Volunteers Charlotte Kembery and Aaron Christie within the Communities Team, Adur & Worthing Councils.

Contact:

Lynne.ward@adur.worthing.gov.uk

Charlotte.kembery@adur-worthing.gov.uk

Aaron.christie@adur-worthing.gov.uk

THANKS TO

West Sussex County Council

Sussex Police